arXiv:1403.7312v1 [math.OA] 28 Mar 2014

ROKHLIN ACTIONS OF FINITE GROUPS ON UHF-ABSORBING C*-ALGEBRAS

SELÇUK BARLAK AND GÁBOR SZABÓ

Abstract. This paper serves as a source of examples of Rokhlin actions or approximately representable actions of finite groups on C*-algebras satisfying a certain UHF-absorption condition. We show that given any finite group G and a separable, unital C*-algebra A that absorbs $M_{|G|^\infty}$ tensorially, one can lift any group homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/\approx_{\mathrm{u}}$ to an honest Rokhlin action γ of G on A. Unitality may be dropped in favour of stable rank one. If A belongs to a certain class of C*-algebras that is classifiable by a suitable invariant (e.g. the Elliott invariant), then in fact every G-action on the invariant lifts to a Rokhlin action of G on A. For the crossed product C*-algebra $A \rtimes_\gamma G$ of a Rokhlin action on a UHF-absorbing C*-algebra, an inductive limit decomposition is obtained in terms of A and γ. If G is assumed to be abelian, then the dual action $\hat{\gamma}$ is locally representable in a very strong sense. If additionally A is nuclear, simple and has a unique trace, then $\hat{\gamma}$ has finite Rokhlin dimension. We then show how some well-known constructions of finite group actions with certain predescribed properties can be recovered and extended by the main results of this paper, when paired with known classification theorems. Among these is a range result of Izumi of approximately representable cyclic group actions on $\mathcal{O}_2$ and Blackadar's famous construction of symmetries on the CAR algebra whose fixed point algebras have non-trivial K_1-groups.

0. Introduction

The study of noncommutative dynamical systems is a very active area of research with lots of open problems and things yet to discover. Be it either the investigation of crossed product C*-algebras or the actions themselves, C*-dynamical systems spark great interest from the viewpoint of classification theory. Steadily, Phillips has been paving the way for a classification theory of pointwise outer finite group actions on unital Kirchberg algebras, building on ideas of *ordinary* classification theory of Kirchberg algebras [27, 14] and making use of equivariant absorption theorems in the spirit of [16]. The key ideas of the latter have already been demonstrated in [8].

An important question related to such a classification theory is how large the *range* of the objects is that one wishes to classify. For example, if a certain class of C*-algebras is classified by K-theoretic data and one wishes

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L55, 46L35.
Supported by: SFB 878 *Groups, Geometry and Actions* and GIF Grant 1137-30.6/2011.

to study finite group actions on such, this begs the question of whether every group action on the K-theory of a C*-algebra in this class can be lifted to an honest group action on the C*-algebra. To be more precise, one poses the following question:

Question. If A belongs to a class of C*-algebras that is *classifiable* by a functor Inv (in a suitable sense) and $\sigma : G \curvearrowright \mathrm{Inv}(A)$ is an action of a finite group on the invariant of A, does there exist an action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ with $\mathrm{Inv}(\alpha) = \sigma$?

It seems that compared to the recent progress in the Elliott classification program, satisfactory answers to this question are very scarce.

To the authors' best knowledge, only the case of actions on unital UCT Kirchberg algebras has been successfully examined so far, in which the invariant boils down to K-theory. Symmetries, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-actions, were first considered in [2]. The main result asserted that if the C*-algebras in question are unital UCT Kirchberg algebras in Cuntz standard form, then the above question has an affirmative answer. This was extended to finite cyclic group actions of prime order in [34], where also the assumption of the Cuntz standard form could be dropped. Finally in [13], this was further extended to actions of finite groups whose Sylow subgroups are cyclic.

Certain difficulties in the aforementioned papers arose from the fact that a slightly stronger question was asked than just the above. For example, a related question is if there exists a lift α like in the above question that comes from a (partial) split of the classifying functor applied to σ. Another stronger question, which was answered in [2] for symmetries, is how large the range of actions is with respect to equivariant K-theory.

In this paper, we take another viewpoint that is also suitable for actions on not necessarily classifiable C*-algebras: For a C*-algebra A, when can a homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ of a finite group lift to an honest action of G on A? While we must certainly impose certain restrictions on A, it turns out that a sufficient criterion turns out to be surprisingly mild. Incidentally, the lifts we construct all have the Rokhlin property.

Theorem (2.3)**.** *Let G be a finite group and A a separable* C*-*algebra that absorbs the UHF algebra $M_{|G|^\infty}$ tensorially. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Then any homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ lifts to a Rokhlin action of G on A.*

Notably, no simplicity or classifiability assumption on A is needed in order to prove this. Looking back to the above question about the range of the invariant of G-actions on classifiable C*-algebras, this more general viewpoint is (a priori) weaker because the Elliott invariant alone is usually not strong enough to distinguish between approximate unitary equivalence classes of $*$-homomorphisms. However, a strong enough classification result paired with UCT usually means that the canonical map $\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Inv}(A))$ is not only surjective, but has a split. For example, this works for Kirchberg

algebras or simple, nuclear TAF algebras, see [18, 20]. This enables one to reduce the above question about the range of the invariant of actions to the question of being able to lift homomorphisms $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ to honest group actions on A. In particular, 2.3 allows us to prove the following result:

Theorem (2.9 and 2.11)**.** *Let G be a finite group and A a separable, unital, nuclear and simple* C*-*algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either purely infinite or TAF. Then Rokhlin action of G on A exhaust all G-actions on the (ordered) K-theory of A.*

Particularly on C*-algebras that are either unital or have stable rank one, finite group actions with the Rokhlin property are very rigid. For example, two Rokhlin actions on such a C*-algebra, which are pointwise approximately unitarily equivalent, are conjugate (see [10, 25]). In particular, this means that up to conjugacy, we construct every possible Rokhlin action of G on A in order to prove 2.3. This enable us to make some non-trivial observations concerning the structure of the crossed product C*-algebras $A \rtimes_\gamma G$, when γ has the Rokhlin property and A absorbs $M_{|G|^\infty}$ tensorially.

Another important topic of this paper is to examine the dual actions of Rokhlin actions more closely, in the case that the acting group is abelian. It turns out that when G and A are as in 2.3 with G abelian and $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$ has the Rokhlin property, then $\hat{\gamma}$ is locally representable in a very strong sense. In particular, it is an inductive limit type action, where the building blocks and the actions on the building blocks are fairly easy to grasp. This enables us to observe that in some special cases, the dual actions $\hat{\gamma}$ have finite Rokhlin dimension in the sense of [9]. In particular, this yields many non-trivial examples of finite abelian group actions on stably finite, classifiable C*-algebras with finite Rokhlin dimension.

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section, we present some notation and definitions that we will use throughout the paper and remind the reader of some standard techniques.

In the second section, we prove that under the aforementioned conditions on a finite group G and a C*-algebra A, every homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ lifts to a Rokhlin action of G on A. We do this by constructing an inductive limit model system on which we define the action. Combining UHF-absorption of $M_{|G|^\infty}$ with an Elliott intertwining argument, we prove that the model system just recovers the given C*-algebra A. Several consequences of this model system are deduced, for example an inductive limit decomposition of the crossed product C*-algebra $A \rtimes_\gamma G$, when A is $M_{|G|^\infty}$-absorbing and γ is *any* Rokhlin action of G on A.

In the third section, we examine the dual actions of the Rokhlin actions treated in Section 2, when the acting group is abelian. As is known from [10], such a dual action is always approximately representable. Under the UHF-absorption condition, however, it turns out that such a dual action is even locally representable in a very strong sense.

In the fourth section, we give a generalization of the notion of Rokhlin dimension from [9] to finite group actions on not necessarily unital C*-algebras. Moreover, we make some observations that make it easier to compute or estimate for inductive limit type actions, e.g. locally representable actions.

In the fifth section, we prove that every (pointwise) strongly outer and strongly approximately inner action of a finite abelian group on a separable, unital, simple, nuclear, $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebra with unique trace has finite Rokhlin dimension. The main effort lies in proving this in the UHF-absorbing special case, for which we can make use of the previous sections. Within this part, we crucially plug in some remarkable machinery that was developed by Matui and Sato, see [24, 23] in particular.

In the sixth section, we treat some interesting examples that arise as consequences of the main results of this paper. It turns out that Blackadar's famous construction of [3] can be recovered and extended to a more general setting by combining our results with Lin's classification theory of TAF algebras [20]. Moreover, an analogous result as [3, 6.2.4] follows for actions of all finite abelian groups, particularly for order p automorphisms for all $p > 2$. In his remarkable work on the Rokhlin property of finite group actions on unital C*-algebras [10, 11], Izumi gave a range result of approximately representable actions of finite cyclic groups with prime power order on $\mathcal{O}_2$, see [10, 4.8(3), 4.9] and [11, 6.4]. This extends quite naturally to finite cyclic groups of any order, and in fact all finite abelian groups, by combining our results with Kirchberg-Phillips classification. On the front of non-unital C*-algebras, one can also combine our results with Robert's classification theorem [30] to construct actions on stably projectionless C*-algebras. For example, the construction carried out in [25, 5.6], which was intended as a stably projectionless analogue of [10, 4.7], can be recovered. Moreover, the way we recover it, we can derive more information on the action, for example its Rokhlin dimension. By considering certain finite abelian group actions on the Jiang-Su algebra, we hint at the possibility that all pointwise strongly outer actions of finite abelian groups on classifiable C*-algebras could have finite Rokhlin dimension.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Preliminaries 5
2. An existence theorem for Rokhlin actions on UHF absorbing C*-algebras 7
3. Local representability of duals of Rokhlin actions on UHF-absorbing C*-algebras 15
4. Rokhlin dimension for actions on non-unital C*-algebras 18
5. The Rokhlin dimension of duals of Rokhlin actions on certain UHF-absorbing C*-algebras 23
6. Examples and applications 33
References 38

1. Preliminaries

Notation 1.1. Unless specified otherwise, we will stick to the following notations throughout the paper.

- G denotes a fixed finite group.
- For a natural number $p \geq 2$, we denote $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.
- A and B are separable C*-algebras.
- $\tilde{B}$ denotes the unitalization of B, if B is non-unital. If B already is unital, then $\tilde{B}$ is B. $\mathcal{M}(B)$ denotes the multiplier algebra of B.
- If M is some set and $F \subset M$ is a finite subset, we write $F \Subset M$.
- For $\varepsilon > 0$ und a, b in some normed space, we write $a =_\varepsilon b$ for $\|a - b\| \leq \varepsilon$.
- If $\varepsilon > 0$ and M_1, M_2 are two subsets of some normed space, we write $M_1 \subset_\varepsilon M_2$ if for all $a \in M_1$, there exists $b \in M_2$ with $a =_\varepsilon b$. $M_1 =_\varepsilon M_2$ then means $M_1 \subset_\varepsilon M_2$ and $M_2 \subset_\varepsilon M_1$.
- $\mathcal{Z}$ is the Jiang-Su algebra.
- $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A)$ denotes the nuclear dimension for a C*-algebra A, but we sometimes use the convenient notation $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A) = 1 + \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A)$. We do the same with the decomposition rank $\mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A) = 1 + \mathrm{dr}(A)$.
- Analogously, the same goes for Rokhlin dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}$.

Definition 1.2 (following [25, 3.1])**.** Let G be a finite group, A a C*-algebra and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action via automorphisms. α is said to have the Rokhlin property, if the following holds: For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \Subset A$, there exist positive contractions $(a_g)_{g \in G}$ in A satisfying

(1) $\Big(\sum_{g \in G} a_g\Big) x =_\varepsilon x$ for all $x \in F$.

(2) $\alpha^g(a_h) x =_\varepsilon a_{gh} x \quad$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $x \in F$.

(3) $\|a_g a_h x\| \leq \varepsilon \quad$ for all $g \neq h$ in G and $x \in F$.

(4) $\|[a_g, x]\| \leq \varepsilon \quad$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in F$.

Under these circumstances, we also call α a Rokhlin action.

We refer to [33] for a more detailed survey and comparison between several known variants of the Rokhlin property. In particular, it follows from [33] that the Rokhlin elements can actually be chosen in such a way that the relations (2) and (3) hold approximately in norm instead of the strict topology. However, as the above formulation is a priori the weakest version, it is also the easiest to verify.

Definition 1.3.

- Two $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$ are called multiplier approximately unitarily equivalent, written $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{mu}} \psi$, if there are unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(B))$ such that
$$\psi(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n \varphi(a) u_n^* \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$
- Two $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : A \to B$ are called approximately unitarily equivalent, written $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \psi$, if there are unitaries $u_n \in \mathcal{U}(\tilde{B})$

such that

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n \varphi(a) u_n^* \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

- A $*$-endomorphism on A is called approximately inner, if it is approximately unitarily equivalent to id_A.

Remark 1.4. Obviously, the relations $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{mu}} \psi$ and $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \psi$ are the same, if B is unital. In general, the two definitions are different. However, it is known that $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{mu}} \psi$ implies $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \psi$ in the cases that B is either stable or has stable rank one.

One checks easily that $\approx_{\mathrm{u}}$ defines an equivalence relation on either $\mathrm{End}(A)$ or $\mathrm{Aut}(A)$ that behaves well with composition. So $\mathrm{End}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$, equipped with the operation $[\theta_1] \cdot [\theta_2] = [\theta_1 \circ \theta_2]$, defines a monoid. On the other hand, the same operation on $\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ defines a group.

Remark 1.5 (see [36])**.**

- Let $q \geq 2$ be any number and $A \cong M_{q^\infty} \otimes A$. Then the canonical embedding

$$\mathbf{1}_{M_{q^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A : A \to M_{q^\infty} \otimes A, \quad a \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{M_{q^\infty}} \otimes a$$

is multiplier approximately unitarily equivalent to an isomorphism.
- Let φ be such an isomorphism. Then one has that

$$\mathbf{1}_{M_{q^n}} \otimes \Big(\varphi^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{q^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M_{q^n} \otimes A})\Big) \colon M_{q^n} \otimes A \to M_{q^n} \otimes A$$

is multiplier approximately inner for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 1.6 (see [31, 2.3.4 and 2.3.3] and [32])**.** (1) *Let A and B be separable C^*-algebras. Let $\varphi_0 : A \to B$ and $\psi_0 : B \to A$ be two $*$-homomorphisms such that $\psi_0 \circ \varphi_0 \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\varphi_0 \circ \psi_0 \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{id}_B$. Then there is an isomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$ with $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \varphi_0$ and $\varphi^{-1} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \psi_0$.*

(2) *Let $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of separable C^*-algebras. For every n, let*

$$\Phi_n : A_n \to A_{n+1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \Psi_n : A_n \to A_{n+1}$$

be two $*$-homomorphisms. If $\Phi_n \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Psi_n$ for all n, then there exists an isomorphism

$$\Theta : \varinjlim \{A_n, \Psi_n\} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \varinjlim \{A_n, \Phi_n\}$$

such that

$$\Phi_{n+1,\infty} \circ \Psi_n \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Theta \circ \Psi_{n,\infty} \quad \textit{and} \quad \Psi_{n,\infty} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{n,\infty}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark. An immediate consequence of 1.6(1) is that $\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ is the set of invertible elements in $\mathrm{End}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$.

2. An existence theorem for Rokhlin actions on UHF absorbing C*-algebras

In this section, we prove that for every finite group G and certain UHF absorbing C*-algebras A, every homomorphism $G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}$ lifts to a Rokhlin action of G on A.

Notation 2.1.

- For a C*-algebra A and a finite index set I, denote
$$A^{\oplus I} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A.$$
- For a finite group G, let $\{e_{g,h}\}_{g,h\in G}$ denote the generating matrix units of $M_{|G|}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that either A is unital, stable, or has stable rank one. Let $\{\beta_g\}_{g\in G} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be a collection of automorphisms that defines a G-action on A up to unitary equivalence, i.e. $\beta_g \circ \beta_h \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. Let $A^{(n)} = M_{|G|^{n-1}} \otimes A$ and $\beta^{(n)} = \mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^{n-1}}} \otimes \beta_g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G$ and consider the inductive system*

$$\Psi_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n+1)\oplus G}, \quad \Psi_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) = \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes (\beta_g^{(n)})^{-1} \circ \beta_h^{(n)}(x_h)\Big)_{g\in G}.$$

Denote $A_\Psi = \varinjlim \Big\{A^{(n)\oplus G}, \Psi_n\Big\}$. Then the embedding

$$\iota_\infty : A \hookrightarrow A_\Psi, \quad x \longmapsto \Psi_{1,\infty}\Big(((\beta_g)^{-1}(x))_{g\in G}\Big)$$

is approximately unitarily equivalent to an isomorphism.

Proof. For every n, define a $*$-homomorphism

$$P_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A \quad \text{via} \quad P_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) = \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{g\in G} e_{g,g} \otimes \beta_g^{(n)}(x_g)\Big).$$

Observe for all n and $(x_g)_g \in A^{(n)\oplus G}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{n+1} \circ \Psi_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) \\
&\quad = P_{n+1}\left(\Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes (\beta_g^{(n)})^{-1} \circ \beta_h^{(n)}(x_h)\Big)_{g\in G}\right) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \left(\sum_{g\in G} e_{g,g} \otimes \beta_g^{(n+1)}\Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes (\beta_g^{(n)})^{-1} \circ \beta_h^{(n)}(x_h)\Big)\right) \\
&\quad = \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \left(\sum_{g,h\in G} e_{g,g} \otimes e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)}(x_h)\right) \\
&\quad = P_n((x_g)_{g\in G}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the P_n give rise to a well-defined $*$-homomorphism $P : A_\Psi \to M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. By 1.5, we pick an isomorphism $\varphi : A \to M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$ with $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A$. Then we obtain a $*$-homomorphism $p = \varphi^{-1} \circ P : A_\Psi \to A$.

Now define $\iota : A \hookrightarrow A^{(1)\oplus G}$ via $\iota(x) = ((\beta_g)^{-1}(x))_{g\in G}$. For $g \in G$, let $q_g^{(n)} : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n)}$ denote the canonical projection onto the g-component. Check that

$$\begin{aligned}
p \circ \iota_\infty &= p \circ \Psi_{1,\infty} \circ \iota \\
&= \varphi^{-1} \circ P_1 \circ \iota \\
&= \varphi^{-1} \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{g\in G} e_{g,g} \otimes \beta_g \circ q_g^{(1)} \circ \iota \Big) \right) \\
&= \varphi^{-1} \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{g\in G} e_{g,g} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A \Big) \right) \\
&= \varphi^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \\
&\approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{id}_A \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we wish to show that also $\iota_\infty \circ p \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{id}_{A_\Psi}$. Observe that for all n, we have the identity $\Psi_{1,n} \circ \iota = \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^{n-1}}} \otimes \iota$. Using this, we calculate for all n:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_{1,n+1} \circ \iota \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ P_n \\
&= (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^n}} \otimes \iota) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ P_n \\
&= \bigoplus_{g\in G} (\beta_g^{(n+1)})^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^n}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ P_n \\
&= \bigoplus_{g\in G} (\beta_g^{(n+1)})^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^n}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)} \circ q_h^{(n)} \Big) \right) \\
&\overset{1.5(2)}{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}} \bigoplus_{g\in G} (\beta_g^{(n+1)})^{-1} \circ \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)} \circ q_h^{(n)} \Big) \\
&= \bigoplus_{g\in G} \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes (\beta_g^{(n)})^{-1} \circ \beta_h^{(n)} \circ q_h^{(n)} \Big) \\
&= \Psi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it is clear that $\iota_\infty \circ p = \Psi_{1,\infty} \circ \iota \circ \varphi^{-1} \circ P \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{id}_{A_\Psi}$. The proof is complete with an application of 1.6(1). □

Using the previous lemma, we can prove an existence result for Rokhlin actions on C*-algebras as in 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that either A is unital, stable, or has stable rank one. Let $\{\beta_g\}_{g\in G} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ be a collection of automorphisms that defines a G-action on A up to unitary equivalence, i.e. $\beta_g \circ \beta_h \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_{gh}$ for all $g, h \in G$. Then there exists a Rokhlin action $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$ such that $\gamma^g \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \beta_g$ for all $g \in G$.*

Proof. We make use of 2.2 and its proof. Adopt the notation for the $A^{(n)}$, the connecting maps Ψ_n and the limit A_Ψ. Also recall the definition of $\iota : A \to A^{(1)\oplus G}$ and $\iota_\infty : A \to A_\Psi$.

To define the action γ, we will use a model system for A similar to A_Ψ. Then we will compare the new model system with A_Ψ and we will see that the action, when pulled back to A, will be an action with the desired properties.

For all n, we define

$$\Phi_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n+1)\oplus G} \quad \text{via} \quad \Phi_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) = \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)}(x_{gh})\Big)_{g\in G}.$$

Consider the limit $A_\Phi = \varinjlim \Big\{A^{(n)\oplus G}, \Phi_n\Big\}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a G-action $\gamma'^{(n)} : G \curvearrowright A^{(n)\oplus G}$ by the shift $\gamma'^{(n)f}((x_g)_{g\in G}) = (x_{f^{-1}g})_{g\in G}$. One checks for all n and $f \in G$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma'^{(n+1)f} \circ \Phi_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) &= \gamma'^{(n+1)f}\left(\Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)}(x_{gh})\Big)_{g\in G}\right) \\
&= \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \beta_h^{(n)}(x_{f^{-1}gh})\Big)_{g\in G} \\
&= \Phi_n((x_{f^{-1}g})_{g\in G}) \\
&= \Phi_n \circ \gamma'^{(n)f}((x_g)_{g\in G}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the $\gamma'^{(n)}$ extend to an action $\gamma' : G \curvearrowright A_\Phi$. It is obvious that γ' has the Rokhlin property.

If $u \in M_{|G|}$ is a unitary operator satisfying $ue_{h,k}u^* = e_{g^{-1}h,g^{-1}k}$ then the properties of the β_g show that

$$\Phi_n \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{Ad}(u \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A^{(n+1)\oplus G})}) \circ \Psi_n \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Psi_n$$

for all n. So we may apply 1.6(2) to find an isomorphism $\Theta : A_\Psi \to A_\Phi$ with

$$\Phi_{n+1,\infty} \circ \Psi_n \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Theta \circ \Psi_{n,\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{n,\infty} \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{n,\infty}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we apply 2.2 to find an isomorphism $\sigma : A \to A_\Psi$ with $\sigma \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \iota_\infty$. We define

$$\gamma : G \curvearrowright A \quad \text{by} \quad \gamma^g = \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \gamma'^g \circ \Theta \circ \sigma \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

Since γ' had the Rokhlin property, so has γ. For all $f \in G$, we now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^f &\approx_{\mathrm{u}} \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \gamma'^f \circ \Theta \circ \Psi_{1,\infty} \circ \iota \\
&\approx_{\mathrm{u}} \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \gamma'^f \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \Psi_1 \circ \iota \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \gamma'^{(2)f} \circ \Psi_1 \circ \iota \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \gamma'^{(2)f} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|}} \otimes \iota) \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \gamma'^{(2)f} \circ \Big(\bigoplus_{h \in G} \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|}} \otimes (\beta_h)^{-1} \Big) \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \Big(\bigoplus_{h \in G} \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|}} \otimes (\beta_{f^{-1}h})^{-1} \Big) \\
&\approx_{\mathrm{u}} \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ \Big(\bigoplus_{h \in G} \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|}} \otimes (\beta_h)^{-1} \circ \beta_f \Big) \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \Theta^{-1} \circ \Phi_{2,\infty} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|}} \otimes \iota) \circ \beta_f \\
&\approx_{\mathrm{u}} \sigma^{-1} \circ \Psi_{2,\infty} \circ \Psi_1 \circ \iota \circ \beta_f \\
&= \sigma^{-1} \circ \iota_\infty \circ \beta_f \quad \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \quad \beta_f.
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Recall the following particularly useful Lemma:

Lemma 2.4 (see [10, 3.5] and [25, 3.5])**.** *Let A be a separable* C*-*algebra that is either unital or has stable rank one. Let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : G \curvearrowright A$ be two Rokhlin actions of a finite group with $\alpha_0^g \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \alpha_1^g$ for all $g \in G$. Then there is an approximately inner $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ such that $\alpha_1^g \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \alpha_0^g$ for all $g \in G$.*

Definition 2.5. Let H_1, H_2 be two discrete groups. We consider two group homomorphisms $\psi_1, \psi_2 : H_1 \to H_2$ to be equivalent, if there exists $g \in H_2$ with $\psi_2(h) = g\psi_1(h)g^{-1}$ for all $h \in H_1$. We denote $\mathrm{Hom}(H_1, H_2)$ modulo this equivalence relation by $\overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(H_1, H_2)$.

Definition 2.6. Let G be a finite group and A a C*-algebra. Let $\mathcal{R}_G(A)$ denote the set of all Rokhlin actions of G on A and let $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A)$ be the set of all conjugacy classes of Rokhlin actions of G on A.

Corollary 2.7. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable* C*-*algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(G, \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}), \quad [g \mapsto \alpha^g] \longmapsto [g \mapsto [\alpha^g]_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}]$$

is surjective and induces a bijection

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}(G, \mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}}).$$

Proof. Surjectivity follows directly from 2.3 and injectivity follows from 2.4. □

Remark. In the unital case of 2.7, it has been shown in [29, 5.17] that actions with the Rokhlin property are generic. However, such density results are usually not very helpful for finding examples of actions satisfying some predescribed properties. For actions on UHF-absorbing classifiable C*-algebras, the following consequences of 2.7 should be satisfactory results in that direction:

Corollary 2.8. *Let G be a finite group and A a C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is expressible as an inductive limit of 1-NCCW complexes that have trivial K_1-groups. For convencience, let $\mathrm{Aut}_+(\mathrm{Cu}^\sim(A))$ denote the set of those automorphisms $\mathrm{Cu}^\sim(A) \to \mathrm{Cu}^\sim(A)$ that send the class of a strictly positive element in A to a class of a strictly positive element. Then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}_+\big(\mathrm{Cu}^\sim(A)\big)\Big),\ [g \mapsto \alpha^g] \longmapsto [g \mapsto \mathrm{Cu}^\sim(\alpha^g)]$$

is surjective and induces a bijection

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big(\mathrm{Cu}^\sim(A)\big)\Big).$$

Proof. This follows directly from 2.7 combined with Robert's classification theorem [30, 1.0.1]. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let G be a finite group and A a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big),\ [g \mapsto \alpha^g] \longmapsto [g \mapsto K_*(\alpha^g)]$$

is surjective and induces a bijection

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big).$$

Proof. It is well-known from Kirchberg-Phillips classification [14, 27] that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)$$

is surjective. By the UCT assumption, this map splits, see [4, 23.11.1]. Hence the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big)$$

follows directly from 2.7. The injectivity of the map

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big)$$

follows from [11, 4.2]. □

Remark 2.10. It is possible to prove a result similar to 2.9 that does not require the assumption of the UCT. The following holds:

Let G be a finite group and A a unital Kirchberg algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Let $\kappa : G \to \big\{x \in KK(A,A)^{-1} \mid x_0([\mathbf{1}]_0) = [\mathbf{1}]_0\big\}$ be a group homomorphism. Then there exists a Rokhlin action $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$ with $KK(\gamma) = \kappa$.

Proof. A rough sketch of the proof goes as follows. Using Kirchberg-Phillips classification, one lifts κ to a family $\{\beta_g\}_{g\in G} \subset \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ that defines a G-action on the level of KK. With this family of automorphisms, define the inductive system $\big\{A^{(n)}, \Phi_n\big\}$ just as in the proof of 2.3. Making use of the unique $|G|$-divisibility of $KK(A,B)$ for any separable C*-algebra B and plugging in the Milnor sequence (see [4, 21.5.2]) for the functor $KK(_\,, B)$, one can calculate that

$$\iota : A \hookrightarrow A_\Phi, \quad x \mapsto \Phi_{1,\infty}\Big(((\beta_g)^{-1}(x))_{g\in G}\Big)$$

yields a KK-equivalence, and is hence asymptotically unitarily equivalent to an isomorphism σ. One defines the action $\gamma' : G \curvearrowright A_\Phi$ just as in the proof of 2.3 and pulls it back via σ, i.e. $\gamma = \sigma^{-1} \circ \gamma' \circ \sigma$. Finally, one calculates that $KK(\gamma'^g \circ \iota) = KK(\iota \circ \beta_g)$ for all $g \in G$, and hence $KK(\gamma^g) = KK(\beta_g) = \kappa(g)$ for all $g \in G$. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable, unital, simple and nuclear* C*-*algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is TAF and satisfies the UCT. Then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big)$$

is surjective and induces a bijection

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big).$$

Proof. It is known from Lin's classification of TAF algebras [20] that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)$$

is surjective. By the UCT assumption, this map splits, see [4, 23.11.1]. Hence the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathcal{R}_G(A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big)$$

follows directly from 2.7. Injectivity of

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_G(A) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Hom}}\Big(G, \mathrm{Aut}\big((K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big)\Big)$$

follows from [11, 4.3]. □

Remark 2.12. We conjecture that the analogous statement to 2.11 is true for TAI algebras as well. Let Ell denote the full Elliott functor. At least the surjectivity part boils down to the question if in the UCT case, the natural map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(A)/_{\approx_{\mathrm{u}}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Ell}(A))$$

is split-surjective. In the TAF case, this is fairly clear because the Elliott invariant only involves (ordered) K-theory and hence this follows directly from the UCT. Although we are no experts on this topic, we think that the above statement should hold true for TAI algebras as well. The result [21, 8.8] seems to show this in the special case that $K_1(A)$ is a torsion group. It may be that an approprioate application of [22] yields this statement in full generality, in which case this should verify the surjectivity part of 2.11 for TAI algebras.

Next, we show how 2.3 helps to obtain a general inductive limit decomposition for crossed products of Rokhlin actions on UHF-absorbing C*-algebras.

Theorem 2.13. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ be a Rokhlin action. Let $A^{(n)} = M_{|G|^{n-1}} \otimes A$ and $\alpha^g_{(n)} = \mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^{n-1}}} \otimes \alpha^g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G$ and consider the inductive system*

$$\Theta_n : A^{(n+1)} \to A^{(n+2)}$$

defined by

$$\Theta_n\Big(\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f} \otimes x_{g,f}\Big) = \sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f} \otimes \sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n)}(x_{gh,fh}).$$

Then $A_\Theta := \varinjlim \Big\{A^{(n+1)}, \Theta_n\Big\} \cong A \rtimes_\alpha G$. In particular, $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ can be expressed as an inductive limit with building blocks all isomorphic to A.

Proof. Recall the notation from 2.3 and its proof. For $\beta_g = \alpha^g$, define the connecting maps

$$\Phi_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n+1)\oplus G}, \quad \Phi_n((x_g)_{g\in G}) = \Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n)}(x_{gh})\Big)_{g\in G}$$

and consider $A_\Phi = \varinjlim \Big\{A^{(n)\oplus G}, \Phi_n\Big\}$. Combining the proof of 2.3 with 2.4 yields that (A, α) is conjugate to (A_Φ, γ), where $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A_\Phi$ is given on each building block by

$$\gamma^{(n)} : G \curvearrowright A^{(n)\oplus G}, \quad \gamma^{(n)f}((x_g)_{g\in G}) = (x_{f^{-1}g})_{g\in G}.$$

Hence it suffices to show that $A \rtimes_\gamma G \cong A_\Theta$.

Let $S : G \to \mathcal{U}(M_{|G|})$ be the left regular representation, i.e. $S(g) = \sum_{h\in G} e_{g^{-1}h,h}$ for all $g \in G$. For each n, define

$$\varphi^{(n)} : A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G \to A^{(n+1)}, \quad \sum_{g\in G} a_g u^g \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} \mathrm{diag}(a_g) \cdot S(g).$$

It is obvious that $\varphi^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism, since it is just a variant of the natural covariant representation coming from the left regular representation. Moreover, one can check that the following diagram commutes for all n:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n \rtimes G} & A^{(n+1)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n+1)}} G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n+1)}} \\ A^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_n} & A^{(n+2)} \end{array}$$

Hence one gets

$$A \rtimes_\gamma G \cong \varinjlim \left\{ A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G, \Phi_n \rtimes G \right\} \cong \varinjlim \left\{ A^{(n+1)}, \Theta_n \right\} = A_\Theta.$$

□

With the help of the above model system for Rokhlin actions on UHF-absorbing C*-algebras, one also observes quite easily that the crossed product is always isomorphic to the fixed point algebra.

Theorem 2.14. *Let G be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ be a Rokhlin action. Then $A^\alpha \cong A \rtimes_\alpha G$.*

Proof. Recall the notation for $A^{(n)}, \alpha^g_{(n)}, \gamma^{(n)}$ and the maps $\Phi_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n+1)\oplus G}$, $\Theta_n : A^{(n+1)} \to A^{(n+2)}$ from 2.3 and 2.13. We have seen that

$$(A, \alpha) = (A_\Phi, \gamma) = \varinjlim \left\{ (A^{(n)\oplus G}, \gamma^{(n)}), \Phi_n \right\}$$

and

$$A \rtimes_\alpha G \cong A_\Theta = \varinjlim \left\{ A^{(n+1)}, \Theta_n \right\}.$$

From the first (equivariant) inductive limit decomposition, it follows that

$$A^\alpha \cong \varinjlim \left\{ (A^{(n)\oplus G})^{\gamma^{(n)}}, \Phi_n \right\}.$$

The building blocks are obviously just isomorphic copies of $A^{(n)}$ as every element $(x_g)_g \in A^{(n)\oplus G}$, which is fixed by the shift action $\gamma^{(n)}$, has the same entry everywhere. The connecting map Φ_n then restricts to

$$\Omega_n : A^{(n)} \to A^{(n+1)}, \quad \Omega_n(x) = \sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n)}(x).$$

Let σ be the flip automorphism on $M_{|G|} \otimes M_{|G|}$. Recall also the left-regular representation $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{U}(M_{|G|}),\ \lambda(h) = \sum_{g\in G} e_{h^{-1}g,g}$. Then we see for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x = (x_{g,f})_{g,h\in G} \in A^{(n+1)}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Theta_n(x)\\
&= \sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f} \otimes \sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n)}(x_{hg,hf})\\
&= (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A^{(n)}}) \left(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n)}(x_{hg,hf}) \right)\\
&= (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A^{(n)}}) \left(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n+1)}\Big(\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{h^{-1}g,h^{-1}f} \otimes x_{g,f} \Big) \right)\\
&= (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A^{(n)}}) \left(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \alpha^h_{(n+1)} \circ \mathrm{Ad}(\lambda(h) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A^{(n)}})\Big(\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f} \otimes x_{g,f} \Big) \right)\\
&= (\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{A^{(n)}}) \circ \mathrm{Ad}\Big(\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h} \otimes \lambda(h) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{A^{(n)}} \Big) \circ \Omega_{n+1}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the flip automorphism σ is well-known to be inner. The unitary implementing it is

$$\sum_{g,h\in G} e_{g,h} \otimes e_{h,g} \quad \in M_{|G|} \otimes M_{|G|}.$$

In particular, Θ_n is unitarily equivalent to Ω_{n+1} for all n. By 1.6(2), this yields an isomorphism between the inductive limits, so in particular between A^α and $A \rtimes_\alpha G$. □

3. Local representability of duals of Rokhlin actions on UHF-absorbing C*-algebras

In this section, we look at actions of finite abelian groups. Particularly, we take a look at the class of Rokhlin actions treated in the previous section, and will describe the dual actions specifically.

First, we recall some needed definitions. Note that, in the next definition, we are (in principle) following [15], but with a slightly changed notation that fits our purposes.

Definition 3.1. Let A be a separable C*-algebra. Define

$$c_0^s(\mathbb{N}, A) = \Big\{ (a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) \ \Big|\ \lim_{n\to\infty} \|a_n x\| + \|x a_n\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A \Big\}.$$

the ideal consisting of the bounded sequences in A strictly converging to zero. Set

$$A^{\infty,s} = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A)/c_0^s(\mathbb{N}, A).$$

Then A clearly embeds canonically into $A^{\infty,s}$ as constant sequences. $A^{\infty,s}$ is unital, in fact for every countable approximate unit $(h_n)_n$ in A, the element $[(h_n)_n] \in A^{\infty,s}$ is the unit. By multiplying with this element, we can also view $\mathcal{M}(A)$ as sitting inside $A^{\infty,s}$.

Now let $B \subset A$ be an essential C*-subalgebra. Then we also view B as canonically embedded into $A^{\infty,s}$ as constant sequences. Set

$$A^{\infty,s} \cap B' = \{x \in A^{\infty,s} \mid xb = bx \quad \text{for all } b \in B\}.$$

If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$, we denote the induced automorphism on $A^{\infty,s}$ by φ_∞. This notation carries over to (finite) group actions.

Remark 3.2. Let $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Then one defines

$$c_\omega^s(\mathbb{N}, A) = \left\{(a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) \mid \lim_{n\to\omega} \|a_n x\| + \|x a_n\| = 0 \text{ for all } x \in A\right\}$$

and $A^{\omega,s} = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A)/c_\omega^s(\mathbb{N}, A)$ accordingly.

If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$, then φ_ω denotes the induced automorphism on $A^{\omega,s}$. This notation carries over to (finite) group actions.

Definition 3.3 (compare to [10, section 3.2] and [25, section 4])**.** Let A be a separable C*-algebra, G a finite abelian group and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action.

(1) α is called strongly approximately inner, if for all $g \in G$, there is a unitary $w(g) \in \mathcal{U}((A^{\infty,s})^{\alpha_\infty})$ with $\alpha^g(a) = w(g)aw(g)^*$ for all $a \in A$.
(2) α is called approximately representable, if there is a unitary representation $w : G \to \mathcal{U}((A^{\infty,s})^{\alpha_\infty})$ with $\alpha^g(a) = w(g)aw(g)^*$ for all $a \in A$ and $g \in G$.
(3) α is called locally representable, if there exists a sequence of C*-subalgebras $A_n \subset A$ with $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$ and $A = \overline{\bigcup_n A_n}$ and unitary representations $w_n : G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(A_n))$ such that

$$\alpha^g|_{A_n} = \mathrm{Ad}(w_n(g)) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(4) Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be a class of separable C*-algebras. α is called locally $\mathfrak{C}$-representable, if it is locally representable and the A_n from (3) may be chosen to be isomorphic to a C*-algebra in $\mathfrak{C}$.

Recall the following duality between Rokhlin actions and approximately representable actions:

Theorem 3.4 (see [10, 3.8] and [25, 4.4])**.** *Let α be an action of a finite abelian group G on a separable* C*-*algebra A. Then*

(i) *α has the Rokhlin property iff $\hat{\alpha}$ is approximately representable.*
(ii) *α is approximately representable iff $\hat{\alpha}$ has the Rokhlin property.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a finite abelian group and A a separable* C*-*algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ be a Rokhlin action. Then $\hat{\alpha} : \hat{G} \curvearrowright A \rtimes_\gamma G$ is locally $\{A\}$-representable.*

Proof. Recall the notation from 2.13. In particular, the definition of the connecting maps

$$\Phi_n : A^{(n)\oplus G} \to A^{(n+1)\oplus G} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_n : A^{(n+1)} \to A^{(n+2)}.$$

Combining the proof of 2.3 with 2.4 yields that (A, α) is conjugate to (A_Φ, γ), where $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A_\Phi$ is given on each building block by

$$\gamma^{(n)} : G \curvearrowright A^{(n)\oplus G}, \quad \gamma^{(n)f}((x_g)_{g\in G}) = (x_{f^{-1}g})_{g\in G}.$$

Moreover, recall the isomorphisms

$$\varphi^{(n)} : A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G \to A^{(n+1)}, \quad \sum_{g\in G} a_g u^g \mapsto \sum_{g\in G} \mathrm{diag}(a_g) \cdot S(g).$$

that made the following diagram commutative for all n:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n \rtimes G} & A^{(n+1)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n+1)}} G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n+1)}} \\ A^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\Theta_n} & A^{(n+2)} \end{array}$$

For each n, we define the action $\delta^{(n)} : \hat{G} \curvearrowright A^{(n+1)}$ induced by the unitary representation

$$\hat{G} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(A^{(n+1)})), \quad \chi \mapsto U(\chi) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A^{(n)})} \quad \text{with} \quad U(\chi) = \sum_{h\in G} \chi(h^{-1}) \cdot e_{h,h}.$$

As one easily checks that

$$U(\chi) S(g) U(\chi)^* = \chi(g) \cdot S(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G,\ \chi \in \hat{G},$$

one gets that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\gamma^{(n)}}^{\chi}} & A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi^{(n)}} \\ A^{(n+1)} & \xrightarrow{\delta^{(n)\chi}} & A^{(n+1)} \end{array}$$

commutes for all n. In particular, the building block actions $\delta^{(n)}$ give rise to an action $\delta : \hat{G} \curvearrowright A_\Theta$.

The two commuting diagrams above yield equivariant inductive limit decompositions

$$\begin{aligned}(A_\Phi \rtimes_\gamma G, \hat{\gamma}) &\cong \varinjlim \Big\{ (A^{(n)\oplus G} \rtimes_{\gamma^{(n)}} G, \widehat{\gamma^{(n)}}), \Phi_n \rtimes G \Big\} \\ &\cong \varinjlim \Big\{ (A^{(n+1)}, \delta^{(n)}), \Theta_n \Big\} \\ &\cong (A_\Theta, \delta).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(A, \alpha) \cong (A_\Phi, \gamma)$, the action $\hat{\alpha}$ is conjugate to δ. As δ is clearly locally $\{A\}$-representable, this finishes the proof. □

4. Rokhlin dimension for actions on non-unital C*-algebras

In the following two sections, we prove that a certain subclass of the actions treated in 3.5 have finite Rokhlin dimension. In this section, we recall the Rokhlin dimension of finite group actions and adapt the definition to the non-unital case. Moreover, we make some observations that make it easier to estimate for certain examples.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a finite group, A a C*-algebra and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action via automorphisms. α is said to have Rokhlin dimension d, written $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) = d$, if d is the smallest natural number with the following property: For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \Subset A$, there exist positive contractions $(f_g^{(l)})_{g\in G}^{l=0,\dots,d}$ in A satisfying

(1) $\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{d}\sum_{g\in G} f_g^{(l)}\Big)x =_\varepsilon x$ for all $x \in F$.

(2) $\alpha^g(f_h^{(l)})x =_\varepsilon f_{gh}^{(l)}x$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d, g, h \in G$ and $x \in F$.

(3) $\|f_g^{(l)} f_h^{(l)} x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d$, $g \neq h$ in G and $x \in F$.

(4) $\|[f_g^{(l)}, x]\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d$, $g \in G$ and $x \in F$.

If there is no such d, we write $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) = \infty$. If $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) = 0$, then this definition coincides with 1.2.

Note that, in the unital case [9, 1.1], the relations were required to hold approximately in norm, whereas here they have to hold approximately in the strict topology on A. Since in the unital case, the strict topology and the norm topology are the same, this is a proper generalization. We suggest that this is the right way to unify [9, 1.1] with 1.2, which stems from [25, 3.1]. See [5] for an analogous generalization in this direction for integer actions.

Remark. A standard functional calculus argument reveals that we may replace (2)-(4) in 4.1 by

(2') $\alpha^g(f_h^{(l)^{1/2}})x =_\varepsilon f_{gh}^{(l)^{1/2}}x$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d, g, h \in G$ and $x \in F$.

(3') $\|f_g^{(l)^{1/2}} f_h^{(l)^{1/2}} x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d$, $g \neq h$ in G and $x \in F$.

(4') $\|[f_g^{(l)^{1/2}}, x]\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d$, $g \in G$ and $x \in F$.

Furthermore, one can replace the finite set in 4.1 by a norm compact subset of A.

The usefulness of this notion is reflected in the following two results that generalize [9, 1.3]. We include a proof for the reader's convenience, but note that the proof is a straightforward generalization of the unital case. See also the proof of [35, 1.10].

Theorem 4.2. *For a finite group G, a C*-algebra A and an action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \leq \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}(\alpha) \cdot \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A).$$

Proof. We may assume that both $s := \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A)$ and $d := \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha)$ are finite. Let $F \Subset A \rtimes_\alpha G$ be a finite set consisting of contractions and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Without loss of generality assume that each $f \in F$ is of the form $f = au_g$ for some contraction $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. Let $F' \Subset A$ be an α-invariant finite set containing all coefficients of elements in F.

By stability of order zero maps [17, 2.5.], it suffices to show that there is a finite dimensional C*-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ with the following property. For each $\eta > 0$ there is a c.p.c. map $\kappa : A \rtimes_\alpha G \to \mathcal{F}$ and c.p.c. maps $\sigma^{(i)} : \mathcal{F} \to A \rtimes_\alpha G$ for $i = 0, \ldots, (s+1)(d+1) - 1$ that are order zero up to η, such that with $\sigma = \sum_i \sigma^{(i)}$, the triple $(\mathcal{F}, \kappa, \sigma)$ forms a c.p. approximation for F up to ε. The term *order zero up to η* means that for all contractions $a, b \in \mathcal{F}$ with $ab = 0$ one has $\|\sigma^{(i)}(a)\sigma^{(i)}(b)\| \leq \eta$.

If $n = |G|$, the left regular representation of $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ induces an injective $*$-homomorphism,

$$\iota : A \rtimes_\alpha G \to M_n \otimes A, \quad \iota(au_g) = \sum_{h \in G} e_{h,g^{-1}h} \otimes \alpha^{h^{-1}}(a).$$

Since $\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(M_n \otimes A) = \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}(A) = s$, we find c.p. maps $\psi : M_n \otimes A \to \mathcal{F}$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to M_n \otimes A$ such that $(\mathcal{F}, \psi, \varphi)$ is an s-decomposable c.p. approximation for $\iota(F)$ up to $\varepsilon/2(d+1)$, and where ψ and all $\varphi^{(i)}$ are contractive. We will now use finite Rokhlin dimension of α to construct a c.p. map $\rho : M_n \otimes A \to A \rtimes_\alpha G$ such that $\rho \circ \varphi : \mathcal{F} \to A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is decomposable into $(d+1)(s+1)$ many maps which are c.p.c. order zero up to η, and such that $(\mathcal{F}, \psi \circ \iota, \rho \circ \varphi)$ defines a c.p. approximation for F up to ε.



Observe that the finite dimensional C*-algebra $\mathcal{F}$ so far only depends on F and ε, and thus the proof is complete by constructing such maps for any given η.

Let $B_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the closed unit ball in $\mathcal{F}$. Define the compact set $K_0 \subseteq M_n \otimes A$ by

$$K_0 = \bigcup_{g\in G} \bigcup_{i=0}^{s} (\mathrm{id}_{M_n} \otimes \alpha^g)(\varphi^{(i)}(B_{\mathcal{F}})),$$

and let $K \subset A$ denote the compact set consisting of all matrix entries of elements in K_0. Choose Rokhlin elements $(f_g^{(l)})_{g\in G}^{l=0,\dots,d}$ in A satisfying (1) and (2')-(4') for $K \cup F'$ and some tolerance $\delta > 0$, which will be determined later. For $l = 0, \dots, d$ define a linear map

$$\rho^{(l)} : M_n \otimes A \to A \rtimes_\alpha G, \quad \rho^{(l)}(e_{g,h} \otimes a) = {f_g^{(l)}}^{1/2} u_g a u_h^* {f_h^{(l)}}^{1/2}.$$

Note that $\rho^{(l)}$ is c.p. as $\rho^{(l)}(x) = v_l x v_l^*$ for $v_l = ({f_g^{(l)}}^{1/2} u_g)_{g\in G} \in M_{1,n}(A \rtimes_\alpha G)$. Hence, the sum $\rho = \sum_{l=0}^{d} \rho^{(l)} : M_n \otimes A \to A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is c.p. as well.

Set $f^{(l)} := \sum_{g\in G} f_g^{(l)}$. By applying (2')-(4') one checks that for $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in G$ and $a, b \in K$ it holds that

$$\|\rho^{(l)}(e_{g_1,h_1} \otimes a)\rho^{(l)}(e_{g_2,h_2} \otimes b) - f^{(l)}\rho^{(l)}((e_{g_1,h_1} \otimes a)(e_{g_2,h_2} \otimes b))\| \leq (n+2)\delta.$$

So, for $x, y \in K_0$ this yields

$$\|\rho^{(l)}(x)\rho^{(l)}(y) - f^{(l)}\rho^{(l)}(xy)\| \leq (n+2)n^3\delta.$$

Recall that $\varphi^{(i)}$ is c.p.c. order zero for all $i = 0, \dots, s$. If $a, b \in B_{\mathcal{F}}$ satisfy $ab = 0$, we therefore get by definition of K_0 that

$$\|\rho^{(l)}(\varphi^{(i)}(a))\rho^{(l)}(\varphi^{(i)}(b))\| \leq (n+2)n^3\delta.$$

In other words, for every $i = 0, \dots, s$ and $l = 0, \dots, d$ the map $\rho^{(l)} \circ \varphi^{(i)}$ is c.p.c. order zero up to $(n+2)n^3\delta$.

Using (2') and (4') one checks for all $h_1, h_2 \in G$ and $x \in F'$ that

$$\rho^{(l)}(e_{h_1,h_2} \otimes x) =_{2\delta} f^{(l)} u_{h_1} x u_{h_2}^*.$$

It follows that

$$\rho^{(l)}(\iota(au_g)) =_{2n\delta} f^{(l)} a u_g \quad \text{for all } a \in F', g \in G,$$

and by (1) we therefore get that $\rho(\iota(au_g)) =_{(2(d+1)n+1)\delta} au_g$. Furthermore, since $\|\rho\| \leq (d+1)$, every element $au_g \in F$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho \circ \varphi \circ \psi \circ \iota)(au_g) &=_{(d+1)\cdot\varepsilon/2(d+1)} \rho(\iota(au_g)) \\ &=_{(2(d+1)n+1)\delta} au_g.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{F}, \psi \circ \iota, \rho \circ \varphi)$ defines a c.p. approximation for F up to $\varepsilon/2 + (2(d+1)n+1)\delta$. If we now choose $\delta > 0$ small enough such that both $(2(d+1)n+1)\delta < \varepsilon/2$ and $(n+2)n^3\delta < \eta$, we get the desired approximation. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *For a finite group G, a C*-algebra A and an action $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$, we have*

$$\mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \leq \dim^{+1}_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) \cdot \mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A).$$

Proof. The proof is almost the same as for 4.2. The only addition is that we need to convince ourselves that the c.p. map $\rho = \sum_{l=0}^{d} \rho^{(l)} : M_n \otimes A \to A \rtimes_\alpha G$ from the previous proof may be chosen to be approximately contractive. For this, note that

$$\rho(\mathbf{1}_n \otimes a) = \sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{g \in G} f_g^{(l)1/2} u_g a u_g^* f_g^{(l)1/2} \leq \sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{g \in G} f_g^{(l)}$$

for every positive contraction $a \in A$. Therefore, we are done if we can show that one can always choose Rokhlin elements $f_g^{(l)}$ such that their sum is almost a contraction. So let $F \Subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. As A has an approximate unit consisting of positive contractions in A^α, we can choose a positive contraction $a \in A^\alpha$ with $xa =_{\varepsilon/3} ax =_{\varepsilon/3} x$ for all $x \in F$. Choose Rokhlin elements $(h_g^{(l)})_{g \in G}^{l=0,\ldots,d}$ satisfying the relations (1)-(4) from 4.1 for $F \cup \{a\}$ and $\frac{\varepsilon}{3|G|(d+1)}$. Define

$$f_g^{(l)} = a^{1/2} h_g^{(l)} a^{1/2}$$

for every $g \in G$ and $l = 0, \ldots, d$. Then it is routine to check that these are elements satisfying the relations (1)-(4) from 4.1 for F and ε. Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{g \in G} f_g^{(l)} =_\varepsilon a \ ,$$

so we are done. □

In order to verify finite Rokhlin dimension for inductive limit type actions, it can be very useful to look at a relative version:

Definition 4.4. Let G be a finite group, A a C*-algebra and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action via automorphisms. Let $B \subset A$ be an α-invariant, non-degenerate C*-subalgebra. α is said to have Rokhlin dimension d relative to B, written $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha \mid B) = d$, if d is the smallest natural number with the following property: For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F \Subset B$, there exist positive contractions $(f_g^{(l)})_{g \in G}^{l=0,\ldots,d}$ in A satisfying

(1) $\Big(\sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{g \in G} f_g^{(l)}\Big) x =_\varepsilon x$ for all $x \in F$.

(2) $\alpha^g(f_h^{(l)}) x =_\varepsilon f_{gh}^{(l)} x$ for all $l = 0, \ldots, d, g, h \in G$ and $x \in F$.

(3) $\|f_g^{(l)} f_h^{(l)} x\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \ldots, d$, $g \neq h$ in G and $x \in F$.

(4) $\|[f_g^{(l)}, x]\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $l = 0, \ldots, d$, $g \in G$ and $x \in F$.

If there is no such d, we write $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha \mid B) = \infty$.

Remark 4.5. In the above setting, $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha \mid B) \leq d$ is equivalent to the existence of positive contractions $(f^{(l)})^{l=0,\dots,d}$ in $A^{\infty,s} \cap B'$ such that $f^{(l)}\alpha_\infty^g(f^{(l)}) = 0$ for all $l = 0, \dots, d,\ g \neq e$ in G and $\sum_{l=0}^{d}\sum_{g\in G} \alpha_\infty^g(f^{(l)}) = \mathbf{1}$. This is also equivalent to the analogous statement in $A^{\omega,s} \cap B'$.

Next, we make some observations about the behavior of Rokhlin dimension of a given action, when stabilized with trivial actions on certain C*-algebras.

Proposition 4.6. *Let A be a separable C*-algebra, G a finite group and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be some number and let $\rho : G \curvearrowright M_l$ another action. Then $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\rho \otimes \alpha)$.*

Proof. The "$\geq$" part is clear. Let us show the "$\leq$" part.

Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{1\leq i,j\leq l}$ be the collection of matrix elements generating M_l. Then it is an easy excersize to show that for any sequence $x_n \in M_l \otimes A$ satisfying

$$\|[e_{i,j} \otimes a, x_n]\| \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq l \text{ and } a \in A,$$

it follows that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_n, \mathbf{1}_l \otimes A) \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

This shows that every "good enough" set of Rokhlin elements for $\rho \otimes \alpha$ can already be chosen in $\mathbf{1}_l \otimes A$. This proves our claim. □

An important consequence of this is the following application of Takai-duality.

Corollary 4.7. *Let A be a separable C*-algebra, G a finite abelian group and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action. Then $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) = \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\hat{\alpha})$.*

Proposition 4.8. *For $\mathcal{D}$ strongly self-absorbing, A separable and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ any action, one has*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \alpha) = \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \alpha \mid \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes A).$$

Proof. The "$\geq$" part is trivial. For "$\leq$", keep in mind that there is a sequence of unital $*$-homomorphisms $\varphi_n : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that $\|[\varphi_n(x), y]\| \to 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence if one can find Rokhlin elements relative to $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes F$ and ε for some $F \Subset A$, applying $\varphi_n \otimes \mathrm{id}_A$ to these elements for some large n yields Rokhlin elements for $F' \otimes F$ and ε, if $F' \Subset \mathcal{D}$ is any predescribed finite subset of $\mathcal{D}$. □

Proposition 4.9. *Let A be a separable C*-algebra, G a finite group and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ an action. Then*

$$\dim^{+1}_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \alpha) \leq 2 \dim^{+1}_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \alpha)$$

for any UHF algebra $\mathcal{U}$ of infinite type.

Proof. Set $d = \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \alpha)$. Let $F \Subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For some large enough $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find Rokhlin elements $(f_g^{(l)})_{g\in G}^{l=0,\dots,d}$ in $\mathbf{1} \otimes M_l \otimes A$ for the pair $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes F, \varepsilon)$, where $\mathcal{U} \cong \mathcal{U} \otimes M_l$. The calculations of [24, Section 5] show that there exist two c.p.c. order zero maps $\varphi_0, \varphi_1 : M_l \to \mathcal{Z}$ with $\varphi_0(\mathbf{1}_l) + \varphi_1(\mathbf{1}_l) =_\varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Z}}$. For $i = 0, 1,\ l = 0, \dots, d$ and $g \in G$, set

$$a_g^{(i,l)} = (\varphi_i \otimes \mathrm{id}_A)(f_g^{(l)}) \quad \in \mathcal{Z} \otimes A.$$

It is very straightforward to show that the collection of the $a_g^{(i,l)}$ are Rokhlin elements in $\mathcal{Z} \otimes A$ for the pair $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes F, 2\varepsilon)$. Since we have $2(d + 1)$ upper indices, $F \Subset A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ were arbitrary, we obtain by 4.8 that $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes A) \leq 2d + 1$, which finishes the proof. □

5. The Rokhlin dimension of duals of Rokhlin actions on certain UHF-absorbing C*-algebras

Remark 5.1. Recall from [17, 2.5] the following set of relations for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$:

$$(\mathfrak{R}_m) \begin{cases} 0 \leq x_1 \leq \mathbf{1}, \quad \|x_k\| \leq 1, \\ x_k x_l = \delta_{1,k} x_1 x_l, \\ x_k x_l^* = \delta_{k,l} x_1^2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$CM_m \cong C^*(\{x_k\}_{1\leq k\leq m} \mid \mathfrak{R}_m) \quad \text{via} \quad \mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,k} \mapsto x_k.$$

Moreover, the relations above are weakly stable. That is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$, such that if we can find elements $z_1, \dots, z_n$ in some C*-algebra A satisfying the relations $(\mathfrak{R}_m)$ up to δ, we can find a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi : CM_m \to A$ with $\varphi(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,k}) =_\varepsilon z_k$.

Next, we establish a technical Lemma that will be useful in the proof of the main result of this section. It is a consequence of the marvellous two-colored Cuntz-Pedersen equivalence Lemma [24, 2.5].

Lemma 5.2. *Let A be a unital C*-algebra with strict comparison for projections. Let $m \geq 2$, and let $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{m-1} \in A$ be projections with*

$$p_0 + \cdots + p_{m-1} = \mathbf{1}_A \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau(p_i) = \frac{1}{m} \quad \textit{for all } i \textit{ and } \tau \in T(A).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is N such that for all $n \geq N$, we can find a set of contractions $\{x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}\}_{0\leq j,k\leq m-1} \subset A \otimes M_n$ satisfying

(1) $x_{i,j} =_\varepsilon (p_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) x_{i,j} (p_j \otimes \mathbf{1}_n), \quad y_{i,j} =_\varepsilon (p_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) y_{i,j} (p_j \otimes \mathbf{1}_n)$
(2) $x_{i,j}^* = x_{j,i},\ y_{i,j}^* = y_{j,i}$ *and* $x_{i,i}, y_{i,i} \geq 0$
(3) $p_j \otimes \mathbf{1}_n =_\varepsilon x_{i,j}^* x_{i,j} + y_{i,j}^* y_{i,j}$ *and* $p_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_n =_\varepsilon x_{i,j} x_{i,j}^* + y_{i,j} y_{i,j}^*$
(4) $x_{i,j} x_{l,k} = \delta_{j,l} x_{i,k} x_{k,k},\ y_{i,j} y_{l,k} = \delta_{j,l} y_{i,k} y_{k,k}$

for all $0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m - 1$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$. Using [24, 2.1] on the pairs (p_0, p_k) for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, we obtain contractions $x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1} \in A \otimes M_n$ such that

$$p_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_n =_\delta x_k^* x_k + y_k^* y_k \quad , \quad p_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n =_\delta x_k x_k^* + y_k y_k^*$$

and

$$x_k = (p_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) x_k (p_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) \quad , \quad y_k = (p_0 \otimes \mathbf{1}_n) y_k (p_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_n),$$

if n is large enough. Moreover, it also comes out of the proof of [24, 2.1] that we may choose these elements in such a way that also

$$x_k x_k^* =_\delta x_l x_l^* \quad \text{and} \quad y_k y_k^* =_\delta y_l y_l^*$$

for all $1 \leq k, l \leq m-1$.

Hence, the tuple $(|x_1^*|, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ satisfies the relations $(\mathfrak{R}_m)$ from 5.1 approximately as δ gets small. So if we choose δ small enough, we get a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi_x : CM_m \to A \otimes M_n$ with $\varphi_x(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,1}) =_\varepsilon |x_1^*|$ and $\varphi_x(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,k+1}) =_\varepsilon x_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m-1$. Analogously, the same argument holds for the y_k and we get a $*$-homomorphism $\varphi_y : CM_m \to A \otimes M_n$ with $\varphi_y(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,1}) =_\varepsilon |y_1^*|$ and $\varphi_y(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{1,k+1}) =_\varepsilon y_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m-1$.

We then set

$$x_{i,j} = \varphi_x(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{i,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{i,j} = \varphi_y(\mathrm{id} \otimes e_{i,j}).$$

It is routine to check that these elements have the desired properties. □

Next, we make use of Matui's and Sato's (relative) property (SI), which has been partially generalized to the stably projectionless setting in [26], to state some useful results about relative central sequence algebras over (possibly non-unital) C*-algebras with a unique tracial state.

Notation. Let A be some C*-algebra with $T_1(A) \neq \emptyset$. For $a \in A$ and $\tau \in T_1(A)$, set $\|a\|_\tau = \tau(a^*a)^{1/2}$. Let

$$\|a\|_2 = \max_{\tau \in T_1(A)} \|a\|_\tau.$$

Definition 5.3. Let A be a C*-algebra with $T_1(A)$ compact and non-empty. Let $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Set

$$c_\omega^T(A) = \Big\{ (a_n)_n \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) \mid \lim_{n \to \omega} \|a_n\|_2 = 0 \Big\}$$

and define

$$A^{\omega,T} = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, A) / c_\omega^T(A).$$

Observe that we have $c_\omega^s(A) \subset c_\omega^T(A)$ (cf. 3.1). This follows from compactness of $T_1(A)$ and the fact that every tracial state on A is continuous in the strict topology. Hence we have a canonical surjection $\Phi : A^{\omega,s} \twoheadrightarrow A^{\omega,T}$.

Using Nawata's generalization of property (SI) to the non-unital case in [26, section 5], one can prove the following similarly to [24, section 3]:

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ be a free ultrafilter. Let A be a separable, simple, nuclear C*-algebra with $\emptyset \neq T_1(A)$ compact. Suppose that A has finitely many extremal tracial states, no unbounded traces and has strict comparison for positive elements. Let $B \subset A$ be a non-degenerate, separable, simple and nuclear C*-subalgebra. Then the following holds:*

- *$\Phi : A^{\omega,s} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A^{\omega,T}$ induces a surjection $\Phi : A^{\omega,s} \cap B' \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A^{\omega,T} \cap B'$.*
- *The induced tracial map $T(\Phi) : T(A^{\omega,T} \cap B') \to T(A^{\omega,s} \cap B')$ is surjective.*
- *$A^{\omega,s} \cap B'$ has strict comparison for projections.*

Remark. From now on, when we say that a C*-algebra A has a unique tracial state, we also imply that A has no other unbounded traces.

Remark 5.5. Let A be a separable, simple and nuclear C*-algebra with a unique tracial state τ. For any $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$, it is well known that the enveloping von Neumann algebra $\pi_\tau(A)'' \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2_\tau(A))$ is the hyperfinite II_1-factor $\mathcal{R}$. As β extends to an automorphism on $\mathcal{R}$, β is approximately inner in the strong operator topology. Since this topology is generated by $\|\cdot\|_\tau$, we can obtain, by using Kaplanski's density theorem, a sequence of contractions $u_n \in A$ with

$$\|u_n^* u_n - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}\|_\tau, \|u_n u_n^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}\|_\tau \quad \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \quad 0$$

and

$$\|u_n x u_n^* - \beta(x)\|_\tau \quad \overset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \quad 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

In particular, if ω is a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$, the element $u = [(u_n)_n]$ defines a unitary in $A^{\omega,T}$ such that $uxu^* = \beta(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Now comes the main technical Lemma of this section:

Lemma 5.6. *Let A be a separable, nuclear, simple, $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebra with a unique tracial state. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ and $k \geq 2$ some number. Define*

$$\Theta^{k,A,\beta} : M_k \otimes A \to M_k \otimes M_k \otimes A$$

via

$$\Theta^{k,A,\beta}((x_{i,j})_{0\leq i,j\leq k-1}) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{l,l} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i+l,j+l}) \right).$$

Set $\xi = e^{2\pi i/k}$. Define an action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_k \curvearrowright M_k \otimes M_k \otimes A$ via

$$\alpha = \mathrm{Ad}(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}) \quad \textit{with} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \xi^{k-1} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \xi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \alpha \mid \mathbf{1}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)) \leq 1$.

Proof. We begin by observing

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha \circ \Theta^{k,A,\beta}((x_{i,j})) \\
&= \mathrm{Ad}(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{l,l} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i+l,j+l}) \right) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \xi^{j-i} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{l,l} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i+l,j+l}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{l,l} \otimes \beta^l(\xi^{j-i} x_{i+l,j+l}) \right) \\
&= \Theta^{k,A,\beta}((\xi^{j-i} x_{i,j})_{i,j}) \\
&= \Theta^{k,A,\beta}\Big(\mathrm{Ad}(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)})((x_{i,j})_{i,j}) \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\Theta^{k,A,\beta}(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)})$ and $(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)})$ commute, and that

$$v := \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)})^*(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}) \ \in \mathcal{M}(M_{k^2} \otimes A) \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'.$$

One calculates

$$v = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes w^* \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}.$$

Now v is a unitary with spectrum $\mathrm{Sp}(v) = \{\xi^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m-1\}$. Its spectral projection for ξ^l, $l = 0, \ldots, k-1$, is

$$p_l = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes e_{l,l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)} \in \mathcal{M}(M_{k^2} \otimes A) \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'.$$

Observe that $vp_l = \xi^l p_l$ and $p_l v^* = \xi^{-l} p_l$.

Consider the p_l as elements

$$p_l = [(p_l)_n] \quad \in \ (M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,T} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'.$$

Define the $\mathbb{C}$-valued $k \times k$ matrix

$$S = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} e_{l,l+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_k.$$

By 5.5, there exists a unitary $u \in A^{\omega,T}$ with $ux = \beta(x)u$ for all $x \in A$. For $l = 1, \ldots, m-1$, we define partial isometries $r_l \in (M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,T}$ via

$$r_l = S^{-l} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes u^l.$$

We claim that $r_l \in (M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,T} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'$ and that r_l implements Murray-von-Neumann equivalence between p_0 and p_l. First, we calculate

$$r_l^* r_l = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes e_{0,0} \otimes \mathbf{1} = p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_l r_l^* = \mathbf{1}_k \otimes e_{l,l} \otimes \mathbf{1} = p_l.$$

Next, let $(x_{i,j}) \in M_k \otimes A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_l \Theta^{k,A,\beta}((x_{i,j})) \\
&\quad = (S^{-l} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes u^l) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} e_{m,m} \otimes \beta^m(x_{i+m,j+m}) \right) \right) \\
&\quad = (S^{-l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes u^l) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes x_{i,j} \right) \\
&\quad = (S^{-l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes u^l x_{i,j} \right) \\
&\quad = (S^{-l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes \mathbf{1}) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i,j}) u^l \right) \\
&\quad = \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i-l,j-l} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i,j}) \right) (S^{-l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes u^l) \\
&\quad = \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes \beta^l(x_{i+l,j+l}) \right) (S^{-l} \otimes \mathbf{1}_k \otimes u^l) \\
&\quad = \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} e_{i,j} \otimes \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} e_{m,m} \otimes \beta^m(x_{i+m,j+m}) \right) \right) (S^{-l} \otimes e_{l,0} \otimes u^l) \\
&\quad = \Theta^{k,A,\beta}((x_{i,j})) r_l.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows for $l = 1, \ldots, k-1$ that $\tau'(p_0) = \tau'(p_l)$ for all tracial states τ' on $(M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,T} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'$. By 5.4, we get $\bar{\tau}(p_0) = \bar{\tau}(p_l)$ for all $l = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and all tracial states $\bar{\tau}$ on $(M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,s} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'$. Since the p_l are pairwise orthogonal and sum up to $\mathbf{1}$, we have in fact $\bar{\tau}(p_l) = \frac{1}{k}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $(M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,s} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)'$ has strict comparison for projections, we are in the situation of 5.2. So we can find two families of contractions $\{x_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i,j \le k-1}, \{y_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i,j \le k-1}$ in

$$M_{k^\infty} \otimes \Big((M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,s} \cap \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A)' \Big) \subset (M_{k^\infty} \otimes M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,s} \cap (\mathbf{1}_{k^\infty} \otimes \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A))'$$

satisfying the relations (1)-(4) given there up to ε. Since this works for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can use a standard reindexation trick to assume that the relations (1)-(4) are in fact satisfies exactly.

Now set

$$a = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} x_{i,j} x_{j,j} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} y_{i,j} y_{j,j}.$$

We claim that these give good candidates for Rokhlin elements. Set $V = \mathbf{1}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes v$ and $P_i = \mathbf{1}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes p_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-1$. Note that by the definition of v, the unitary V induces the action $(\mathrm{id}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \alpha)_\omega$ on $(M_{k^\infty} \otimes M_{k^2} \otimes A)^{\omega,s} \cap (\mathbf{1}_{k^\infty} \otimes \Theta^{k,A,\beta}(M_k \otimes A))'$. For all $l = 1, \dots, k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(a) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(x_{i,j}x_{j,j}) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} V^l x_{i,j} x_{j,j} V^{-l} \\ &\overset{(1)}{=} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} V^l P_i x_{i,j} x_{j,j} P_j V^{-l} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \xi^{(i-j)l} x_{i,j} x_{j,j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows for $l = 1, \dots, k-1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} k^2 \cdot a \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(a) &= \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} x_{i,j}x_{j,j} \right) \left(\sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \xi^{(i-j)l} x_{i,j}x_{j,j} \right) \\ &\overset{(4)}{=} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} x_{i,m}x_{m,m} \cdot \xi^{(m-j)l} x_{m,j}x_{j,j} \\ &\overset{(4)}{=} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \xi^{-jl} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \xi^{ml} \right) x_{i,j} x_{j,j}^3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the same calculation goes through with b instead of a. Hence

$$a(\mathrm{id}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_\omega)^l(a),\ b(\mathrm{id}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_\omega)^l(b) = 0 \quad \text{for all } l = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

Moreover, we also calculate that

$$k \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(a) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{i,j=0}^{k-1} \xi^{(i-j)l} x_{i,j}x_{j,j} = k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} x_{j,j}^2.$$

The analogous statement holds for b as well. Summing up yields

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(a) + (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha)^l_\omega(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} x_{j,j}^2 + y_{j,j}^2 \overset{(3)}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} p_j = \mathbf{1}.$$

By 4.5, we are done.

□

Definition 5.7. Let G be a finite abelian group. We denote by $\mathrm{gr}(G)$ the generator rank of G, i.e. the minimal number of generators.

Lemma 5.8. *Let G be a finite abelian group and A a separable, nuclear, simple, $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C^*-algebra with a unique tracial state. For an action $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$, define the map*

$$\Theta^{G,A,\gamma} : M_{|G|} \otimes A \to M_{|G|} \otimes M_{|G|} \otimes A$$

by

$$\Theta^{G,A,\gamma}\Big(\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f}\otimes x_{g,f}\Big)=\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f}\otimes\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h}\otimes\gamma^h(x_{gh,fh}).$$

Let $U:\hat{G}\to\mathcal{U}(M_{|G|})$ *be the unitary representation given by*

$$U(\chi)=\sum_{h\in G}\chi(h^{-1})e_{h,h}$$

and define $\alpha:\hat{G}\curvearrowright M_{|G|}\otimes M_{|G|}\otimes A$ *by* $\alpha^\chi=\mathrm{Ad}(U(\chi)\otimes\mathbf{1}_{|G|}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)})$.

Then $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}}\otimes\alpha\mid\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}}\otimes\Theta^{G,A,\gamma}(M_{|G|}\otimes A))\leq 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)}-1$.

Proof. We begin by pointing out that by the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups, one has a direct sum decomposition of cyclic subgroups $G\cong\mathbb{Z}_{k_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{Z}_{k_m}$ for some $k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_m\geq 2$ and $m=\mathrm{gr}(G)$. We show the claim with induction by m.

The case $m=1$ is true by 5.6. So assume $G\cong\mathbb{Z}_k\oplus H$ for some $k\geq 2$ and a finite abelian group H for which the claim holds.

Let s be the standard generator of $\mathbb{Z}_k$. Set $\xi=e^{2\pi i/k}$. Then we have $\hat{G}\cong\mathbb{Z}_k\oplus\hat{H}$, where the copy of $\mathbb{Z}_k$ is generated by

$$\kappa:G\to\mathbb{T}\quad\text{given by}\quad\kappa(js+h)=\xi^j.$$

Moreover, we can identify

$$M_{|G|}\cong M_k\otimes M_{|H|}\quad\text{by}\quad e_{(j_0s,h_0),(j_1s,h_1)}\mapsto e_{j_0,j_1}\otimes e_{h_0,h_1}.$$

Let $\gamma_H=\gamma|_H,\gamma_0=\gamma^s$ and $\alpha_{\hat{H}}=\alpha|_{\hat{H}},\alpha_0=\alpha^\kappa$. Keeping in mind all these identifications and the notation from 5.6, it is easy to verify that

$$\Theta^{G,A,\gamma}=\Theta^{k,M_{|H|}\otimes M_{|H|}\otimes A,\gamma_0}\circ(\mathrm{id}_{M_k}\otimes\Theta^{H,A,\gamma_H}),$$

where α_0 is given by the order k unitary

$$W=w\otimes\mathbf{1}_{M_k\otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}\quad\text{with}\quad w=\begin{pmatrix}1&&&\\&\xi^{k-1}&&\\&&\ddots&\\&&&\xi\end{pmatrix}\in M_k$$

and $\alpha_{\hat{H}}$ is given as the image of a unitary representation $\tilde{\alpha}$ of $\hat{H}$ on $\mathbf{1}_{M_k}\otimes M_{|H|}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{M_{|H|}}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A)}$ under $\Theta^{k,M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}\otimes A,\gamma_0}$.

Notice that $\Theta^{k,M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}\otimes A,\gamma_0}(\mathbf{1}_{M_k}\otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}\otimes A)$ consists of diagonal $k\times k$-matrices over $M_k\otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}\otimes A$, which are fixed by α_0. Let

$$d:=\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|H|^\infty}}\otimes\alpha_{\hat{H}}\mid\mathbf{1}_{M_{|H|^\infty}}\otimes\mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{H,A,\gamma_H})).$$

It follows that we find Rokhlin elements

$$\tilde{b}_0\ldots,\tilde{b}_d\ \in\ (M_{|H|^\infty}\otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2}\otimes A)^{\omega,s}\cap(\mathbf{1}_{M_{|H|^\infty}}\otimes\mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{H,A,\gamma_H}))'$$

satisfying

$$\tilde{b}_l \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{\alpha})^{\chi}_{\omega}(\tilde{b}_l) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \neq 1 \text{ in } \hat{H} \text{ and } l = 0, \dots, d$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \tilde{\alpha})^{\chi}_{\omega}(\tilde{b}_l) = \mathbf{1}.$$

We identify $M_k \otimes M_{|H|^\infty}$ with $M_{|H|^\infty} \otimes M_k$ and set

$$b_l = \mathrm{id}_{M_{|H|^\infty}} \otimes \Theta^{k, M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A, \gamma_0}(\mathbf{1}_{M_k} \otimes \tilde{b}_l).$$

This yields elements

$$b_l \ \in \ (M_{|H|^\infty} \otimes \Theta^{k, M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A, \gamma_0}(\mathbf{1}_{M_k} \otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A))^{\omega, s} \cap (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|H|^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{G, A, \gamma}))'$$

satisfying

$$b_l \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})^{\chi}_{\omega}(b_l) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \chi \neq 1 \text{ in } \hat{H} \text{ and } l = 0, \dots, d$$

and

$$\sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})^{\chi}_{\omega}(b_l) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Moreover, the elements $b_0, \dots, b_d$ are all fixed under $\mathrm{id}_{|H|^\infty} \otimes \alpha_0$. Now apply 5.6 on α_0 to find elements

$$a_0, a_1 \ \in \ (M_{k^\infty} \otimes M_k^{\otimes 2} \otimes M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A)^{\omega, s} \cap (\mathbf{1}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{k, M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A, \gamma_0}))'$$

satisfying

$$a_i \cdot (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_0)^{j}_{\omega}(a_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, k-1 \text{ and } i = 0, 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{id} \otimes \alpha_0)^{j}_{\omega}(a_i) = \mathbf{1}.$$

As a_0 and a_1 commute with $\mathbf{1}_{M_{k^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{k, M_{|H|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A, \gamma_0})$, they are fixed under $\alpha_{\hat{H}}$. By identifying $M_{|G|^\infty} \cong M_{k^\infty} \otimes M_{|H|^\infty}$ and using a standard reindexation trick, we may moreover assume that the a_i commute with the b_l, viewed as elements in

$$(M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes M_{|G|}^{\otimes 2} \otimes A)^{\omega, s} \cap (\mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \mathrm{Im}(\Theta^{G, A, \gamma}))'.$$

For all $l = 0, \dots, d$ and $i = 0, 1$, we set $f^{(i,l)} = a_i \cdot b_l$. Since a_i commutes with b_l, this yields positive contractions. Let $(j, \chi) \neq (0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \hat{H} \cong \hat{G}$.

Then we have for all $i = 0, 1$ and $l = 0, \ldots, d$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{(i,l)} \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha)_\omega^{(j,\chi)}(f^{(i,l)}) \\ &= a_i b_l \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})_\omega^\chi \circ (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_0)_\omega^j(a_i b_l) \\ &= a_i \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_0)_\omega^j(a_i) \cdot b_l \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})_\omega^\chi(b_l) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha)_\omega^\chi(f^{(i,l)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{(j,\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \hat{H}} (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_0)_\omega^j \circ (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})_\omega^\chi(a_i b_l) \\ &= \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{(j,\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \hat{H}} (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_0)_\omega^j(a_i) \cdot (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})_\omega^\chi(b_l) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_0)_\omega^j(a_i) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{l=0}^{d} \sum_{\chi \in \hat{H}} (\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha_{\hat{H}})_\omega^\chi(b_l) \right) \\ &= \mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

As we have $2(d+1)$ upper indices, we get

$$\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha \mid \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Theta^{G,A,\gamma}(M_{|G|} \otimes A)) \leq 2d + 1.$$

By our induction hypothesis on H, we have $d \leq 2^{\mathrm{gr}(H)} - 1$. Hence

$$\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha \mid \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Theta^{G,A,\gamma}(M_{|G|} \otimes A)) \leq 2(2^{\mathrm{gr}(H)} - 1) + 1 = 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)} - 1.$$

This finishes the proof. □

Proposition 5.9. *Let G be a finite group, $\mathcal{D}$ a strongly self-absorbing* C*-*algebra and A a separable* C*-*algebra with $A \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. If $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$ acts with the Rokhlin property, then $(A, \gamma) \cong (\mathcal{D} \otimes A, \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : A \to \mathcal{D} \otimes A$ be an isomorphism with $\varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_A$. Then we have for all $g \in G$ that

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \gamma^g) \circ \varphi \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \varphi^{-1} \circ (\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \gamma^g) \approx_{\mathrm{u}} \varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \gamma^g = \gamma^g.$$

An application of 2.4 yields that γ and $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \gamma$ are in fact conjugate. □

Now comes the main result of this section:

Theorem 5.10. *Let G be a finite abelian group and A a separable, nuclear, simple* C*-*algebra with a unique tracial state and $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. For every Rokhlin action $\gamma : G \curvearrowright A$, we have* $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\hat{\gamma}) \leq 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)} - 1$.

Proof. Let $A^{(n)} = M_{|G|^{n-1}} \otimes A$ and $\gamma^g_{(n)} = \mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^{n-1}}} \otimes \gamma^g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G$ and consider the inductive system

$$\Theta_n : A^{(n+1)} \to A^{(n+2)},\ \Theta_n\Big(\sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f}\otimes x_{g,f}\Big) = \sum_{g,f\in G} e_{g,f}\otimes\sum_{h\in G} e_{h,h}\otimes\gamma^h_{(n)}(x_{gh,fh}).$$

For each n, we define the action $\alpha^{(n)} : \hat{G} \curvearrowright A^{(n+1)}$ induced by the unitary representation

$$\hat{G} \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}(A^{(n+1)})), \quad \chi \mapsto U(\chi)\otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}(A^{(n)})} \quad \text{with} \quad U(\chi) = \sum_{h\in G} \chi(h^{-1})\cdot e_{h,h}.$$

Then the proof of 3.5 shows that

$$(A \rtimes_\gamma G, \hat{\gamma}) \cong \varinjlim \Big\{(A^{(n+1)}, \alpha^{(n)}), \Theta_n\Big\} =: (A_\Theta, \alpha).$$

But now notice that the triple $(A^{(n)}, \Theta_n, \alpha^{(n+1)})$ is exactly of the form as in 5.8. Hence we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\hat{\gamma}) \\
&= \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\alpha) \\
&\overset{4.8}{=} \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\alpha \mid \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes A_\Theta) \\
&\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\alpha^{(n+1)} \mid \mathbf{1}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \Theta_n(A^{(n+1)})) \\
&\overset{5.8}{\leq} 2^{\mathrm{gr}(A)} - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

As A is unital or has stable rank one, and absorbs $M_{|G|^\infty}$ tensorially, it follows from 5.9 that γ is conjugate to $\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\gamma$, whence also $\hat{\gamma}$ is conjugate to $(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\gamma)^\wedge = \mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes\hat{\gamma}$. This finishes the proof. □

More generally, we obtain finite Rokhlin dimension for certain strongly approximately inner actions on $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebras with a unique tracial state:

Corollary 5.11. *Let G be a finite abelian group and let A be a unital, separable, nuclear, simple and $\mathcal{Z}$-stable* C*-*algebra with a unique tracial state. Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ be pointwise strongly outer and strongly approximately inner. Then* $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\alpha) \leq 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)+1} - 1$.

Proof. It follows from [10, 3.10] that $\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \alpha$ is approximately representable. Hence, $\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \hat{\alpha}$ has the Rokhlin property by 3.4.

As α is pointwise strongly outer, $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is simple and has a unique tracial state. In particular, $\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \hat{\alpha}$ acts on a separable, unital, nuclear, simple, $M_{|G|^\infty}$-absorbing C*-algebra with a unique tracial state and has the Rokhlin property. By 5.10, it now follows that $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \leq 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)} - 1$.

But strong outerness of α also implies that α is conjugate to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \alpha$, see [23, 4.11]. The same holds for $\hat{\hat{\alpha}}$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}(\alpha) &\overset{4.7}{=} \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}(\hat{\hat{\alpha}}) = \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \\ &\overset{4.9}{\leq} 2 \dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}^{+1}(\mathrm{id}_{M_{|G|^\infty}} \otimes \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \overset{5.10}{\leq} 2 \cdot 2^{\mathrm{gr}(A)} = 2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

6. Examples and applications

In this section, we give some applications to the results that have been proved in the previous sections.

Recall the following useful result, which makes it easy to compute the K-theory of crossed products by finite group actions with the Rokhlin property:

Theorem 6.1 (see [10, 3.13])**.** *Let A be a simple unital* C*-*algebra, G a finite group and $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ a Rokhlin action. Then*

$$K_i(A^\alpha) = \bigcap_{g \in G} \ker(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\alpha^g)) \quad \textit{for } i = 0, 1$$

and the inclusion $A^\alpha \hookrightarrow A$ is the canonical inclusion on the level of K-theory.

The following recovers and generalizes [3, 6.3.4] by combining 2.11 with known classification results.

Example 6.2. Let (G_0, G_0^+, u) be a uniquely 2-divisible scaled ordered abelian group, which is weakly unperforated and has the Riesz interpolation property. Let σ be a scaled ordered group automorphism of order 2 on G_0, such that $\ker(\mathrm{id} - \sigma)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}], \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]^+, 1)$ as an ordered group with order unit. Let G_1 be any countable, uniquely 2-divisible abelian group. Then there exists a locally (UCT+TAF)-representable $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action γ on M_{2^∞} such that $K_0(M_{2^\infty}^\gamma) \cong G_0$ as ordered groups and $K_1(M_{2^\infty}^\gamma) \cong G_1$.

Proof. By combining the range result [7] with [19], there exists a separable, unital, nuclear, simple C*-algebra A with tracial rank zero and satisfying the UCT such that

$$\big(K_0(A), K_0(A)^+, [\mathbf{1}_A], K_1(A)\big) = \big(G_0, G_0^+, u, G_1\big).$$

We make use of the classification theorem of [20]. As the K-groups of A are uniquely 2-divisible, we have $A \cong M_{2^\infty} \otimes A$.

Consider the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on the above quadruple of A whose K_0-part agrees with σ, and the K_1-part acts as multiplication by -1. By 2.11, we can lift this to a Rokhlin action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright A$ with $K_0(\alpha) = \sigma$ and $K_1(\alpha) = -\mathrm{id}$. Applying 6.1 yields that the Elliott invariant of $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the same as that of the CAR algebra M_{2^∞}. As taking crossed products with Rokhlin actions preserves the property of being TAF (see [28, 2.6]) and satisfying the UCT (see [33, Section 5]), $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ is again TAF and satisfies the UCT, and hence $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong M_{2^\infty}$ by [20]. Under this identification, the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ gives rise to a locally $\{A\}$-representable (see 3.5) action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright M_{2^\infty}$ with

$$M_{2^\infty}^\gamma \cong (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2)^{\hat{\alpha}} \cong A.$$

□

Remark. An analogous statement is true for actions of any finite abelian group. We state it in the next example for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}_2$. As the proof is very similar to the above, we omit it.

Example 6.3. Let $p \geq 2$ be a natural number. Let (G_0, G_0^+, u) be a uniquely p-divisible ordered abelian group with order unit, which is weakly unperforated and has the Riesz interpolation property. Let σ_0 be an ordered group automorphism of order p on G_0, such that $\ker(\mathrm{id} - \sigma_0)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}], \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]^+, 1)$ as an ordered group with order unit. Let G_1 be a countable, p-divisible abelian group with an order p automorphism over σ_1 such that $\ker(\mathrm{id} - \sigma_1) = 0$. Then there exists a locally (UCT+TAF)-representable $\mathbb{Z}_p$-action γ on M_{p^∞} such that $K_0(M_{p^\infty}^\gamma) \cong G_0$ as ordered groups and $K_1(M_{p^\infty}^\gamma) \cong G_1$. Moreover, γ can be chosen to have Rokhlin dimension at most 1.

Next, we shift our focus in the direction of UHF absorbing (UCT) Kirchberg algebras. As it turns out, the range results of [10, 4.8(3), 4.9] and [11, 6.4] about approximately representable actions of cyclic groups of prime power cardinality on $\mathcal{O}_2$ can be recovered and substantially extended by combining 2.9 with Kirchberg-Phillips classification. We note that, just as for 6.3, an analogous result holds for all finite abelian groups, but we only state it for the cyclic case:

Example 6.4. Let $p \geq 2$ be a natural number. For $i = 0, 1$, let G_i be a countable, uniquely p-divisible abelian group with an order p automorphism $\sigma_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_i)$ satisfying $\ker(\mathrm{id} - \sigma_i) = 0$. Then there exists a locally UCT-Kirchberg-representable action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ with

$$K_i(\mathcal{O}_2^\gamma) \cong K_i(\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong G_i.$$

Additionally, the automorphisms σ_i correspond to $K_i(\hat{\gamma})$ under this isomorphism.

Proof. Let A be a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra in Cuntz standard form with $K_i(A) \cong G_i$ for $i = 0, 1$. As the G_i are uniquely p-divisible, we have $A \cong A \otimes M_{p^\infty}$. By 2.9, we can find a Rokhlin action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright A$ such that

$K_i(\alpha) = \sigma_i$. By 6.1, we obtain $K_i(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. Moreover, since α has the Rokhlin property, $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_2 \cong A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$. Under this identification, the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ gives rise to a locally $\{A\}$-representable (see 3.5) action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ with

$$\mathcal{O}_2^\gamma \cong (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p)^{\hat{\alpha}} \cong A$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p \cong (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_p \cong M_p \otimes A \cong A.$$

Moreover, $K_i(\hat{\gamma})$ corresponds to $K_i(\hat{\hat{\alpha}}) = K_i(\alpha)$, which corresponds to σ_i. □

Example 6.5. A special case of the above is $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ with $\sigma_0 = -\mathrm{id}$ and $G_1 = 0$. This yields a locally UCT Kirchberg-representable action γ on $\mathcal{O}_2$ with $\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$. This action actually coincides with the action defined in [10, 4.7].

Remark. Example 6.4 can be generalized further, as one may delete the UCT assumption with the help of 2.10 to cover an (at least a priori) greater class of possible fixed point algebras. As this is not entirely obvious, we formulate it more precisely and sketch a proof:

Example 6.6. Let $p \geq 2$ be a natural number. Let A be a unital Kirchberg algebra with $A \cong M_{p^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that $\kappa \in KK(A, A)$ is an element with $\kappa_0([\mathbf{1}]_0) = [\mathbf{1}]_0$ and satisfying the equations $1 = \kappa^p$ and $0 = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \kappa^j$. Then there exists a locally $\{A\}$-representable action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright \mathcal{O}_2$ such that $\mathcal{O}_2^\gamma \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p \cong A$ and such that $KK(\hat{\gamma}) = \kappa$.

Proof. We use 2.10 to find a Rokhlin action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_p \curvearrowright A$ with $KK(\alpha) = \kappa$. The inductive limit decomposition from 2.13 allows one to compute the KK-groups for the crossed product $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p$. We do not carry out this computation, but tell the reader the outcome; in general, one gets that there is an abelian group isomorphism $KK(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p, B) \cong \{x \in KK(A, B) \mid \kappa \otimes x = x\}$ for every separable C*-algebra B. To prove this, one has to make use of the unique p-divisibility of $KK(A, B)$ for any B, and plug in the Milnor sequence (see [4, 21.5.2]) for the functor $KK(_\,, B)$ in order to compute the KK-theory of the inductive limit described in 2.13.

If one keeps in mind the second equality for κ from above and the aforementioned identification of KK-groups, we get in this case that

$$x = p \cdot \frac{x}{p} = \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \kappa^j\Big) \cdot \frac{x}{p} = 0$$

for all $x \in KK(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p, A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Hence we have $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathcal{O}_2$. The action γ that corresponds to $\hat{\alpha}$ under this identification, is locally $\{A\}$-representable by 3.5. We have

$$\mathcal{O}_2^\gamma \cong \big(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p\big)^{\hat{\alpha}} \cong A$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_2 \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_p \cong (A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_p) \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_p \cong M_p \otimes A \cong A.$$

Moreover, we see that $KK(\hat{\gamma}) = KK(\hat{\hat{\alpha}}) = KK(\alpha) = \kappa$. □

Definition 6.7. Let $\mathfrak{C}_R$ be the class of C*-algebras that are expressible as an inductive limit of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes with trivial K_1-groups. Note that [30] provides a classification result for $\mathfrak{C}_R$. In particular, [30, 6.2.4] reduces the classifying invariant in the simple case to the K_0-group, the tracial simplex and the pairing between these.

Remark 6.8 (compare to [25, 5.6])**.** [6, 5.2.1 and 5.2.2] show that there exists a simple, stably projectionless C*-algebra A in $\mathfrak{C}_R$ with

$$\big(K_0(A), (T(A), T_1(A)), r_A\big) = \big(\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{R}^+, \emptyset), 0\big).$$

By [26, 5.2], there exists a hereditary subalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ of A such that $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ has a unique tracial state τ and no unbounded traces. Since $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ is stably isomorphic to A by Brown's theorem, we have

$$\big(K_0(A), (T(A), T_1(A)), r_A\big) = \big(\mathbb{Z}, (\mathbb{R}^+, \{\tau\}), 0\big).$$

By Robert's classification theorem [30], $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ is (up to isomorphism) the unique simple, stably projectionless C*-algebra in $\mathfrak{C}_R$ with this data.

Remark 6.9. The notation $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ is justified, as $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ should be thought of a stably projectionless analogue of $\mathcal{O}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$. A unital Kirchberg algebra A is in Cuntz standard form, i.e. $[\mathbf{1}_A]_0$ is trivial in $K_0(A)$, if and only if $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$. Similarly, one might be tempted to conjecture that a separable, simple, nuclear, stably projectionless, $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebra A has a trivial pairing map $0 = r_A : T(A) \to S(K_0(A))$ if and only if $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$.

Remark 6.10. As we need it in the next example, we remark that a similar formula 6.1 holds for the non-simple case as well, at least provided that one has UHF absorption. To be more precise, we have the following:

Let G be a finite group and A a separable C*-algebra with $A \cong M_{|G|^\infty} \otimes A$. Assume that A is either unital or has stable rank one. Let $\alpha : G \curvearrowright A$ be a Rokhlin action. Then

$$K_i(A^\alpha) \cong K_i(A \rtimes_\alpha G) \cong \bigcap_{g \in G} \ker(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\alpha^g)) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1.$$

As this follows easily from 2.13 and 2.14, we omit the proof.

Question 6.11. Is a K-theory formula like 6.1 or 6.10 true for Rokhlin actions on any separable C*-algebra?

The following example is essentially the same as the one treated in [25, 5.6].

Example 6.12. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the so-called Razak-Jacelon algebra from [12]. There exists a locally $\mathfrak{C}_R$-representable action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright \mathcal{W}$ with $\dim_{\mathrm{Rok}}(\gamma) = 1$ and $\mathcal{W}^\gamma \cong \mathcal{W} \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$.

Proof. By Robert's classification theorem, there exists an automorphism $\beta \in \mathrm{Aut}(M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}})$ with $K_0(\beta) = -\mathrm{id}$. As β^2 is trivial on the Elliott invariant, β^2 is approximately inner. Note that all C*-algebras in $\mathfrak{C}_R$ have stable rank one. Hence, we can apply 2.7 and get a Rokhlin action $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ with $K_0(\alpha) = -\mathrm{id}$. As $\mathfrak{C}_R$ is closed under taking crossed products with Rokhlin actions of finite groups (see [33, Section 5]), $(M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2$ is in $\mathfrak{C}_R$ and has trivial K-theory by 6.10. Moreover, it has a unique tracial state and no unbounded traces. Hence it is isomorphic to the Razak-Jacelon algebra $\mathcal{W}$. Under this identification, the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ gives rise to a locally $\mathfrak{C}_R$-representable (see 3.5) action $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright \mathcal{W}$ with

$$\mathcal{W}^\gamma \cong \big((M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2\big)^{\hat{\alpha}} \cong M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong ((M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_2) \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong M_2 \otimes M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}} \cong M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}.$$

Moreover, this action has Rokhlin dimension at most 1 by 5.10. As the crossed product is not again $\mathcal{W}$, it cannot have the Rokhlin property. This follows either from the formula 6.10 or [25, 3.7]. □

Lemma 6.13. *For any* C*-*algebra* A *and for any UHF algebra* $\mathcal{U}$ *of infinite type, one has the inequalities*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}) \leq 2 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{U})$$

and

$$\mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}) \leq 2 \, \mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{U}).$$

Proof. This follows directly from the computations done in [24, Section 5]. □

Corollary 6.14. *For every separable* C*-*algebra* A*, one has the inequalities*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \leq 4 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{W})$$

and

$$\mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \leq 4 \, \mathrm{dr}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{W})$$

Proof. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{Z}_2 \curvearrowright \mathcal{W}$ be the action from 6.12. Then $\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \gamma$ is an action on $A \otimes \mathcal{W}$ with Rokhlin dimension at most 1 and such that the crossed product is isomorphic to $A \otimes M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$. As $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}$ is $\mathcal{Z}$-stable, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) &\overset{6.13}{\leq} 2 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}}) \\ &= 2 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}\Big((A \otimes \mathcal{W}) \rtimes_{\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \gamma} \mathbb{Z}_2\Big) \\ &\overset{4.2}{\leq} 4 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{W}). \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality is obtained the same way. □

Remark 6.15. By Kirchberg-Phillips classification, one has the isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{st}} \cong \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{W} \cong \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$. In particular, if one inserts $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ in place of A in the above nuclear dimension inequality, one gets

$$\dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty) \leq 4 \dim_{\mathrm{nuc}}^{+1}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2).$$

In particular, this recovers [1, 3.2]. The proof of that followed a very similar path, as it made use of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $\mathcal{O}_2$ that had Rokhlin dimension 1 and produced $M_{2^\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty^{\mathrm{st}}$ as a crossed product, see [10, 4.7]. One might be tempted to conjecture that the $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{O}_\infty}$-stabilization of the action of 6.12 is conjugate to the $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{K}}$-stabilization of the action defined in 6.5, which was originally constructed in [10, 4.7].

Definition 6.16. Let A be a separable C*-algebra and G a finite group. Let G act on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ faithfully by $\sigma : G \to S_n$. Consider the associated action

$$\beta_\sigma : G \curvearrowright A^{\otimes n}, \quad \beta_\sigma^g(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = a_{\sigma(g)(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{\sigma(g)(n)}.$$

We call such an action the G-flip action on A induced by σ. In the particular case that $n = |G|$ and G acts by translation on itself, we call the resulting action the canonical G-flip on A.

Question 6.17. Let G be a finite abelian group and $\mathcal{D}$ a strongly self-absorbing C*-algebra. Is any G-flip action on $\mathcal{D}$ strongly approximately inner?

Example 6.18. Let G be a finite abelian group. If 6.17 has an affirmative answer for the Jiang-Su algebra, then any G-flip action on $\mathcal{Z}$ has finite Rokhlin dimension. (In fact at most $2^{\mathrm{gr}(G)+1} - 1$.)

Proof. It is easy to see that any G-flip action is pointwise strongly outer. Thus the assertion would follow directly from combining 6.17 with 5.11. □

Question 6.19. What is the Rokhlin dimension of a given G-flip action on $\mathcal{Z}$, for a finite and not necessarily abelian group G?

Remark 6.20. Although it is (strictly speaking) speculation at this point, we find it entirely possibly that every pointwise strongly outer G-action on a separable, simple, nuclear, stably finite, $\mathcal{Z}$-stable C*-algebra absorbs the canonical G-flip on $\mathcal{Z}$. If this were true, then the above example could therefore lead to a proof of finite Rokhlin dimension for a much larger class of strongly outer actions than just 5.11. Such a line of proof would have striking similarity to the case of pointwise outer actions on Kirchberg algebras, where a similar absorption theorem holds, see [8] and its application to Rokhlin dimension of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-actions [1].

References

[1] S. Barlak, D. Enders, H. Matui, G. Szabó, W. Winter: The Rokhlin property vs. Rokhlin dimension 1 on Kirchberg algebras, arXiv:1312.6289v2

[2] D. J. Benson, A. Kumjian, N. C. Phillips: Symmetries of Kirchberg algebras, Canad. Math. Bull. 46 (2003), no. 4, pp. 509-–528.
[3] B. Blackadar: Symmetries on the CAR algebra, Ann. of Math. 131, no. 3 (1990), pp. 589–623.
[4] B. Blackadar: K-theory for operator algebras, Cambridge University Press, Second Edition, 1998.
[5] J. Brown, I. Hirshberg: The Rokhlin property for endomorphisms and strongly self-absorbing C*-algebras, arXiv:1311.3274
[6] G. A. Elliott: An invariant for simple C*-algebras, Canadian Mathematical Society. 1945– 1995, Vol. 3, pp. 61–90, Canadian Math. Soc., Ottawa, ON, 1996.
[7] G. A. Elliott, G. Gong: On the classification of C*-algebras of real rank zero, II, Ann. of Math. 144 (1996), pp. 497–610.
[8] P. Goldstein, M. Izumi: Quasi-free actions of finite groups on the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_\infty$, Tohoku Math. J. 63, (2011), pp. 729—749.
[9] I. Hirshberg, W. Winter, J. Zacharias: Rokhlin dimension and C*-dynamics, arXiv:1209.1618
[10] M. Izumi: Finite group actions on C*-algebras with the Rohlin property I, Duke Math. J. 122, no. 2 (2004), pp. 233–280.
[11] M. Izumi: Finite group actions on C*-algebras with the Rohlin property II, Adv. Math. 184 (2004), no. 1, pp. 119–160.
[12] B. Jacelon: A simple, monotracial, stably projectionless C*-algebra, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 87 (2013), no. 2, pp. 365-–383.
[13] T. Katsura: A construction of actions on Kirchberg algebras which induce given actions on their K-groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 617 (2008), pp. 27–65.
[14] E. Kirchberg: The classification of purely infinite C*-algebras using Kasparov's theory, Preprint 1994.
[15] E. Kirchberg: Central sequences in C*-algebras and strongly purely infinite algebras, in Operator Algebras: The Abel Symposium 2004, Vol. 1 of Abel Symp., pp. 175–231. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
[16] E. Kirchberg, N. C. Phillips: Embedding of exact C*-algebras and continuous fields in the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_2$, J. Reine Angew. Math. 525 (2000), pp. 17–53.
[17] E. Kirchberg, W. Winter: Covering dimension and quasidiagonality, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004), no. 1, pp. 63–85.
[18] H. Lin: Tracially AF C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), no. 2, pp. 693–722.
[19] H. Lin: Simple AH-algebras of real rank zero, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), no. 12, pp 3813–3819.
[20] H. Lin: Classification of Simple C*-algebras of tracial topological rank zero, Duke Math. J. 125, no. 1 (2004), pp. 91–118.
[21] H. Lin: Simple nuclear C*-algebras of tracial topological rank one, J. Funct. Anal. 251, no. 2 (2007), pp. 601–679.
[22] H. Lin: Approximate unitary equivalence in simple C*-algebras of tracial rank one, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364, no. 4 (2012), pp. 2021–2086.
[23] H. Matui, Y. Sato: $\mathcal{Z}$-stability of crossed products by strongly outer actions II, arXiv:1205.1590
[24] H. Matui, Y. Sato: Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing C*-algebras, arXiv:1303.4371
[25] N. Nawata: Finite group actions on certain stably projectionless C*-algebras with the Rohlin property, arXiv:1308.0429
[26] N. Nawata: Picard groups of certain stably projectionless C*-algebras, arXiv:1207.1930
[27] N. C. Phillips: A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C*-algebras, Doc. Math. 5 (2000), pp. 49-–114.

[28] N. C. Phillips: The tracial Rokhlin property for actions of finite groups on C*-algebras, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), no. 3, pp. 581—636.
[29] N. C. Phillips: The tracial Rokhlin property is generic, arXiv:1209.3859
[30] L. Robert: Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), no. 5, pp. 2802–2836.
[31] M. Rørdam, E. Stormer: Classification of Nuclear C*-Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer, 2001.
[32] M. Rørdam's web page with corrections to his book, http://www.math.ku.dk/ rordam/Encyclopaedia.html
[33] L. Santiago: Crossed products by actions of finite groups with the Rokhlin property, arXiv:1401.6852
[34] J. Spielberg: Non-cyclotomic Presentations of Modules and Prime-order Automorphisms of Kirchberg Algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 613 (2007), pp. 211–230.
[35] G. Szabó: The Rokhlin Dimension of Topological $\mathbb{Z}^m$-actions, arXiv:1308.5418
[36] A. S. Toms, W. Winter: Strongly self-absorbing C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 8, pp. 3999–4029.
[37] W. Winter, J. Zacharias: The nuclear dimension of C*-algebras, Adv. Math. 224 (2010), no. 2, pp. 461–498.

Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität, Fachbereich Mathematik,
Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany
E-mail address: selcuk.barlak@uni-muenster.de, gabor.szabo@uni-muenster.de